

Quran

Through Kafir's Eye



Compiled by
VASHI SHARMA

Quran
Through Kafir's Eye

Quran : Through Kafir's Eye

Agniveer is only publisher of this book and claims no copyright over the material presented in this book. The content is already in public domain as a chapter in the book – Light of Truth – English Translation of Satyarth Prakash by Swami Dayanand.

For information contact

books@agniveer.com

First Edition: February 2018

Quran Through Kafir's Eye

Compiled by
VASHI SHARMA

Other Books by Vashi Sharma

INDIAN MUSLIMS

Children of India or Slaves of Arabs

THE NAKED MUGHALS

Forbidden Tales of Harem and Butchery

EVERY MUSLIM IS NOT A TERRORIST

How to prevent the next terror attack

PADMAVATI

Stories from buried history

ISLAM MEANS PEACE BUT

AGNISANKALP

*This book is dedicated to the warrior saint of Hinduism
– Swami Dayanand who paved the way of criticizing fanatic
cults which remained untouched/unchallenged for centuries
because they were too violent.*

PREFACE

We are pleased to present first-ever intellectual analysis of Quran and Islamic concepts by the most revered and prominent Hindu figure of last 500 years.

This book is the comprehensive analyses of Islam done by Swami Dayanand Saraswati – the prominent Hindu saint of the 19th century and the author of ‘*Satyarth Prakash*’ (light of truth) published in 1883.

Swami Dayanand had been a champion of the cause of Vedas and had a huge number of debates with Moulvis throughout his lifetime, the essence of which is culminated in the 14th Chapter of *Satyarth Prakash* or Light of Truth.

For centuries, Quran and Islam were forced on people of the world with sword. All Muslim invaders who attacked India, killed and converted crores, looted everything, raped millions and destroyed almost all temples were, in their own words, ‘soldiers of Islam’ and did everything to establish the ‘word of Quran’ in the land of *Kafirs*. After centuries of bloodshed from one side and silence from the other, Swami Dayanand decided to challenge the butchery and question principles of Quran based on which his

motherland was so brutally attacked and destroyed. He had debates with Moulvis. He used to even publish advertisements to invite Islamic scholars for debate and remained undefeated.

So strong was the impact of this critical analysis that not only did it open gateway for much needed further criticisms coming from all across the world but also forced the Moulvis to start reinterpreting and re-translation of Quran. The entire Shuddhi movement (reconversion of Muslims into original Vedic faith) started as a mass movement. And most importantly, the translations and interpretations of Quran that we see today are significantly different from what existed from the creation of first Quran some decades after the death of Prophet up to 1883.

There may be minor errata and the language may be slightly dated. But the goal is to gather the overall concepts. For original text, study *Satyarth Prakash* in Hindi language. It has been observed that the versions of Quran available in the time of Swami Dayanand were quite different from those available today. Many verses appearing in earlier versions are not there with the same verse numbers in many available versions today. Islamic scholars may clarify on this if they wish

to. But for time being, if you want to cross check references given by Dayanand, and you don't find the verse at its place in modern versions of Quran, just check 4 to 5 neighbouring verses and you should get those.

We have reproduced the work of Swami Dayanand as it is in this book. Since he is considered as a religious figure in Hinduism by many at par with the prophet of Islam or any other Abrahamic religion, his words or criticism must be taken in the same spirit as harsh verses of Quran against idolatry, unbelievers of Islam, Jews, Pagans and Hindus.

Vashi Sharma
New Delhi

DISCLAIMER

This book on analysis of Islam by Swami Dayanand is based on the translations and commentaries of Islamic texts like Quran, Hadiths etc. as published by all major Islamic publishing sources. These are the publicly available translations that are used for promotion of Islam by prominent representatives. We, however, believe that most of these texts have been wrongly translated, misinterpreted, misquoted or even have been tampered with and interpolated to suit the selfish designs of proponents of Islam after Prophet. Thus, we believe that original Islam and original Quran of Prophet are totally different from what has been propagated by his namesake followers in name of Islam. There are few minority groups of Muslims whose scholars subscribe to our views and interpret/translate Islamic texts differently or even refuse to consider the available Quran and Hadiths as authentic. But these scholars themselves are considered *Kafirs* and are condemned by ruling elite of Islam. Thus, when we refer to Islam, we actually imply these ruling elites of Islam and not Muslims or all Islamic scholars in general. Wherever we critically evaluate Prophet, we imply the ficti-

tious Prophet propagated by these false texts or their wrong translations and not the original Prophet. We have high regard for original Prophet who stood for non-violence and tolerance. Similarly, when we analyze Islamic texts, we refer to these questionable translations/versions and *not* original texts. Further, we have a sense of the highest level of brotherhood for Muslims in general. Wherever we use the word Muslim in a critical manner, it refers to false representatives of Islam and not Muslims in general. Readers are requested to keep this in mind to understand the correct perspective of the book.

Contents

Preface	
Disclaimer	
1. Introduction To The Analysis	1
2. Beginning Of Quran With Doubt	4
3. Mercy Only For Muslims, Not For Non-Muslims And Animals	5
4. Day Of Judgment	6
5. Favor And Disfavor Of Allah	7
6. Arrogance Of Allah Praising His Own Book	9
7. Allah's Action: Devilish Or Godly?	11
8. Stones For Unbelievers	12
9. Paradise Is No Better Than This World	13
10. Deceiving Angels To Impress Them Of His (Allah's) Own Greatness	14
11. Allah Is Not Omniscient	15
12. Allah Is Prejudiced And Partial	18
13. Quran Is Meaningless If The Message Was Already Given To Moses	18
14. If The Dead Were Raised In Past, Why Not Now	20
15. Was Allah Sitting Idle Before Creation?	21
16. Quran – Merely An Echo Of Bible	22
17. Jealous And Malevolent Allah	23
18. All Things Against Laws Of Nature Are False	24
19. What Kind Of God Would Have Enemies?	26
20. If Allah Is In Every Direction, Why Muslims Face Only Mecca?	28
21. Out Of Nothing, Nothing Can Come	28
22. Were There No Places Sacred Before Kaaba?	30
23. Muslims, Idol-Worshippers?	32
24. Slaying Non-Muslims And Innocent Animals To Be On Allah's Path	33

Quran: Through Kafir's Eye

25. Fasting Copied From Hinduism	36
26. Losing Political Supremacy In Their Fight For Cause For Allah	38
27. Women Are Pollution?	40
28. The Author Of Q'uran Knew Neither Astronomy Nor Geography	42
29. Allah Is Nothing Else But A Tyrant	44
30. Paradise A Brothel?	45
31. Islam, True Religion?	46
32. Muslims Are Embodiments Of Bigotry And Ignorance	46
33. Allah, The Great Deceiver	48
34. Prophet And Allah Were Both Quarrelsome	51
35. Allah's Omniscency In Doubt, Keeps A Memorandum	53
36. The Height Of Prejudice	54
37. "Birds Of A Feather Flock Together"	57
38. The Q'uran Promotes Sinful Conduct	61
39. Prophet An Imposter	61
40. Allah Is Also Not Omnipresent	64
41. Allah Should Be Spoken To Aloud Or Not	67
42. Allah Destitute Of Compassion	68
43. Is Allah All-Pervading?	72
44. Prophet Holding Out Baits For The Ignorant	74
45. A Terrible Blot On Godhead?	75
46. Allah Ignorant Of Physics?	77
47. Allah Beguiles People?	82
48. Why Are There Infidels When He Sent Apostles To All People?	85
49. What An Injustice Of Allah!	86
50. There Can Be No Delay In The Administration Of Justice	89
51. Rebirth Of Souls Constitutes True Doctrine	90
52. Believers Of The Q'uran Must Be Illiterate	92

53. Did Allah, Himself Send The Devil To Incite The Innocent	94
54. Can The Inanimate Adore God	97
55. This Mode Of Meting Out Justice Is As Bad As Doing Injustice	98
56. The Quran Disturbs The Peace Of The World And Fosters Discord	100
57. Other Books Sent Down By Allah Before The Q'uran	101
58. Does God Create By The Caprice Of His Will?	105
59. Is Allah Limited By Space Like Man?	109
60. A Father-In-Law Lusting After His Daughter-In-Law	112
61. Is Allah Subject To Pain?	115
62. If The Quran Were The Work Of God, How Could He Swear By It?	117
63. Drinking Of Alcohol Forbidden On Earth, But Not In Paradise	119
64. What Is Not Eternal, Must Perish	120
65. It Is Unjust To Forgive Sins	124
66. Is This Your Almighty Allah?	125
67. Condemning Some Women To Life Of Sterility	127
68. He Sent Jesus Whose Teachings Oppose The Q'uran	129
69. Is The Earth Stationary?	132
70. Allah A Servant Of Prophet?	136
71. What A 'Remarkable' Sense Of Justice!	139
72. A Day Whose Length Is Fifty Thousand Years?	141
73. The Sun And Moon Together?	144
74. Sexual Intercourse In Paradise?	144
75. Can The Sun Be Folded Up?	146
76. Does Allah Ride A Camel?	149
77. Was The Q'uran Revealed In One Night?	150
78. The Q'uran Is Neither The Work Of God Nor An Intelligent Person	152
79. Is The Prophet Prophesied In The Vedas?	153
About Agniveer	

INTRODUCTION TO THE ANALYSIS

In the fourteenth chapter (of Satyarth Prakash), we have discussed the Mohammedan (Islam) religion. Our criticism against the Quran is based directly on the teachings of the Quran itself and not on those of any other book. Thought differences of opinion with regard to the interpretation of certain words and verses do exist among the Mohammedans - they being split up into so many sects - yet all agree with the revealed/divine character of the Quran.

The Quran, which is written in the Arabic language, has been translated into Urdu by distinguished Mohammedan scholars. This translation was rendered into Hindi and transcribed in Devanagari character and was then corrected by eminent Arabic scholars. Anyone questioning the accuracy of our translation should first prove the translation done by those Mohammedan scholars to be incorrect before sitting down to find faults with us.

The objective of this criticism is to contribute to the elevation of the human race and enable all men to sift truth from falsehood by giving them some idea of the teachings of various prevalent religions, as this

will afford them opportunities for friendly discussions – so useful in helping men to point out their defects and to appreciate their merits.

It is not our purpose to falsely condemn this or any other religion. On the contrary what we aim at is that whatever is true should be recognized as such and whatever is false should be condemned as such, so that no one should be in a position to palm off untruth for truth or hinder the progress of truth. One is, of course, free to accept the truth or for the matter of that even refuse to do so after it has been published; compulsion being impossible in such matters. Good men will, as a rule, after they have realized their merits and demerits, imbibe good qualities and reject bad ones and eradicate bigotry and prejudice and wherever found. Who does not know something of the prodigious amount of evil that has been wrought by bigotry? The truth is that it is unworthy of a human being to injure others and throw away his own chance of happiness in this uncertain and transient life.

In case the good reader comes across, in this criticism, anything contrary to facts, it is hoped he will point it out and we shall make the suggested changes if

called for, since this criticism is designed to diminish bigotry, obstinacy, jealousy, malice, hatred, and (love of) useless wrangling and not to promote them.

It is our first and foremost duty to avoid injuring others and to further the well-being of each other. We lay this criticism on the Mohammedan religion before all lovers of truth in the hope that they, after having gone carefully through it, accept what appeals to their reason and common sense and discard what is repugnant to them.

Now, we shall examine (the doctrines of) the Mohammedan religion.

Dayanand Saraswati

BEGINNING OF QURAN WITH DOUBT

“(I begin this book) In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful.”

(Chapter 1: 1)

The Mohammedans claim that this Q’uran is the Word of God, but it appears from the above passage that the author of this book was some person other than God, since had it been God himself, He would not have said: “(I begin this book) in the name of God etc.” He would have, instead, said: “I write this book for the instruction of mankind.” If it is said that by beginning His book in this fashion He means to teach men as to what they should say when about to do a thing, it cannot be true since some men will do even sinful deeds in the name of God and thereby bring disgrace on Him.

If (the Mohammedan) God be merciful, why has He sanctioned that men should inflict great suffering on other creatures by killing them for their food? Are not these animals innocent? Are they not His creatures? He should have also advised men to begin only good deeds in His name and not evil

ones. Thus, the passage (under discussion) is quite ambiguous. Should even such sinful acts, as theft, adultery, untruthfulness in speech, begun in God's name? Very likely it is on account of this ambiguity that the (Mohammedan) butchers etc., mutter "In the name of God, the compassionate, the most Merciful as the moment of cutting the throats of cows and other animals."

It is clear then that the Mohammedans do begin even evil deeds in the name of God. The Mohammedan God can never be called Merciful because He shows no mercy towards those animals (whose slaughter He sanctions).

If the Mohammedans do not know the true meaning of this passage, its revelation is of no use to mankind. But if the Mohammedans interpret it differently, we should like to know what its plain meaning is.

2

MERCY ONLY FOR MUSLIMS, NOT FOR
NON-MUSLIMS AND ANIMALS

"Praise be to God, the Lord of all creatures, the Compas-

sionate, the Merciful.”

(Chapter 1: 1, 2)

Had the God of the Quran been the Lord of all creatures, and been Merciful and kind to all, He would never have commanded the Mohammedans to slaughter men of other faiths, and animals, etc.

If He is Merciful, will He show mercy even to the sinners? If the answer be given in the affirmative, it cannot be true, because further on it is said in the Quran “Put Infidels to sword,” in other words, he that does not believe in the Quran and the Prophet Mohammad is an infidel (he should, therefore, be put to death).

Since the Quran sanctions such cruelty to non-Mohammedans and innocent creatures, such as cows, it can never be the Word of God.

3

DAY OF JUDGMENT

“The King of the day of judgment. Thee only do we worship and of Thee do we beg assistance. Direct thou us on the right path.”

(Chapter 1: 2, 4, 5)

Does God not always administer justice? If He administers justice only on one particular day, He does wrong. It is right to worship Him and beg assistance of Him, but is this equally right to invoke His assistance in doing even evil deeds? Is the right path that of the Mohammedans alone and not of others? Why do not the Mohammedans tread the path which is really the right one? (We hope) they do not regard the path that leads to evil as the right one. If good is the same in all religions, the Mohammedan religion can have no superiority over others. If the Mohammedans do not believe that other religions are just as good as their own, they are prejudiced.

4

FAVOR AND DISFAVOR OF ALLAH

“The path of those whom Thou art incensed, nor of those who go astray.”

(Chapter 1: 6, 7)

Since the Mohammedans do not believe in the previous existence of the soul, nor that it ever did any deeds before, their God will be open to the

charge of being partial by showing favor to some and disfavor to others inasmuch as it is quite unjust to bestow happiness on men or subject them to pain and suffering without paying any regard to their merits and demerits. It is also against the nature (of God) to unreasonably look at some with mercy and others with disdain and anger. Indeed He cannot act arbitrarily. When the souls have done no good or bad action in their previous lives, is it not unfair that some should receive blessings while the others not?

The commentary on this verse says: “God Himself made the people repeat this verse with their own lips so that they should ever do so in future.” If this is the case, God Himself must have taught them even the alphabet. Besides, how could they read this verse without knowing the alphabet? Or were they made to learn it by rote? If so, the whole of the Quran must have been taught orally. Now, this book, which is so full of partiality and favouritism (to some). Cannot be the Word of God. For instance, the Quran having been revealed in Arabic, it was easier for the Arabs to learn it, than for others. The Quran would have been free from this defect, had it been revealed like the Veda in a language which is altogether distinct from

all other languages and is not the mother-tongue of any people, just as God, through His justice vouchsafed into us all His Word, the Veda in Sanskrit which requires the same amount of exertion from persons of all nationalities to be mastered.

5

ARROGANCE OF ALLAH PRAISING HIS
OWN BOOK

“There is no doubt in this book,; it is a direction to the pious who believed in the mysteries of faith, who observe the appointed times of prayer, and distribute alms out of what we have bestowed on them; and who believed in that revelation, which hath been sent down unto thee, and hat which hath been sent down unto the prophets before thee, and have firm assurance in the life to come: these are directed by their Lord and they shall prosper. As for the unbelievers, it will be equal to them whether thou admonish them, or do not admonish them; they will not believe – God hat sealed up their hearts and their hearing; a dimness covereth their sight and they shall suffer a grievous punishment.”

(Chapter 2: 1- 6)

Is it not arrogance on the part of God to praise

His own book? The revelation of the Quran is of no use since the pious are already treading the right path without extrinsic aid, while the wicked are not directed by it. Does God provide (the Mohammedans) with the necessary cash to defray all their expenses out of His own treasury without any exertion on their part or paying heed to their merits and demerits? If He does, why does He not do the same for all? If it is permissible to have faith also in the Bible, why do not the Mohammedans believe in that book in the same way as they do in the Quran? But if they do, where is then the necessity for the Quran to be revealed?

If it is argued that the Quran is more comprehensive than the Bible, it might be asked if God had forgotten to write anything in the latter book. If He had not, it was useless for Him to reveal the Quran. Besides we find that the bible and the Quran differ so little, in other words, they are at one with each other in most things, it is, therefore, reasonable to ask why the revelation was not sent down (once for all) in one (complete) book such as the Veda?

Thus, the human soul cannot be held responsible for its sinful or virtuous deeds since it cannot be said to be a free agent.

ALLAH'S ACTION: DEVILISH OR GODLY?

“There is an infirmity in their hearts and God hath increased that infirmity.”

(Chapter 2: 9)

Well did God increase their infirmity, while they were innocent? Did He not have pity on them? They must have suffered terribly indeed. Is not this act more devilish than that of the Devil? To seal their hearts and increase their infirmity could never be the work of God inasmuch as the increase of infirmity is the result of one's own sinful actions.

“Who hath spread the earth as a bed for you and the heaven as a covering.”

(Chapter 2: 20)

Well! Can the heaven be a covering for anything?

Now, does it not show ignorance (of the author of the Quran)? It is absurd to believe in the sky being a covering.

If the Mohammedans believe some kind of planet

to be the heaven, it can only be the work of their own imagination.

7

STONES FOR UNBELIEVERS

“If ye be in doubt concerning the revelation which we have send down into our servant, produce a chapter like unto it and call your witnesses, beside God, if ye say truth. But if ye do it not, nor shall ever be able to do it, justly fear the fire whose fuel is men, and stones prepared for the unbelievers.”

(Chapter 2:21-22)

Well! Is it impossible to produce a chapter like unto it? Did not Maulvi Fiazi in the time of king Akbar compile a Quran without making use of any dotted letters in it? What kind of fire is the hellfire? Is not the fire (of this world) to be feared? The fire of this world also consumes anything that may be put into it.

Just as it is stated in the Quran that stones have been prepared for the non-believers, likewise it is said in the Puraanaas that Malechhas (cow-killers, demons) are doomed to hell. Now, which of these two statements should be accepted as correct? According to each of

these, the adherents of one sect go to hell and those of the other to heaven. Both of these statements are therefore false. The truth is that only the good and the virtuous will enjoy happiness, while the wicked will be subjected to pain and suffering which faith they may belong to.

8

PARADISE IS NO BETTER THAN THIS
WORLD

“But bear good tidings unto those who believe and do good works that they shall have gardens watered by rivers; so oft as they eat of the fruit thereof for sustenance, they shall say, this is what we have formerly eaten of; and they shall be supplied with several sorts of fruit having a mutual resemblance to one another. There shall they enjoy wives subject to no impurity, and there shall they continue forever.”

(Chapter 2: 24)

The paradise as described in the Quran is in no respect better than this world because the same sort of things that are obtainable here are to be had there. The only exception being that men here die and are born again, whereas this is not the case with them in

paradise, the women also here do not continue to live forever, whereas in paradise they do so. We should like to know how these poor women pass their days until the day of judgment?

Of course, it will be alright if the Mohammedan God extends His helping hand to them and thereby they manage to pass their days with comfort. Tut Tut! Tut! But this goes to show that the paradise of the Mohammedans justly resembles a place of perverts where women are valued more than men. Similarly, in the temple of God (paradise) women are valued and loved more than men by God. They live forever in heaven but not men. How can this arrangement last unless God desires it? The Mohammedan God is surely in danger of falling in love with these women!!!

9

DECEIVING ANGELS TO IMPRESS THEM
OF HIS (ALLAH'S) OWN GREATNESS

“And he taught Adam the names of all things, and then proposed them to the angels, and said, “Declare unto me the names of these things if ye say truth.” God said, “O Adam, tell them their names” and when he had told them their names, God said, “Did I not tell you that I know the secrets of heaven

and earth and know that which ye discover, and that which ye conceal.”

(Chapter 2: 31)

Could God ever deceive His angels in this way in order to impress them with His Greatness? It was an act of sheer imposture on His part. No enlightened man could ever believe such a thing of God, nor would he display such hauteur. Was it by these means that God wanted to display His supernatural powers? Such quackery can only flourish among the savages but not among the civilized.

10

ALLAH IS NOT OMNISCIENT

“And when we said unto the angels, worship Adam, they all worshipped him except Eblis (Satan), who refused, and was puffed up with pride and became of the number of the unbelievers.”

(Chapter 2: 32)

This indicates that the Mohammedan God was not Omniscient i.e., He was not cognizant of the three periods of time – the past, the present, and future.

Had he been Omniscient, He would not have created Satan. Nor was God All-powerful, since when Satan deliberately refuse to obey Him he could do nothing against him.

Now, if only one infidel (Satan), could trouble God so much as to render Him helpless what will He and His votaries do when they will have to cope with millions (according to their own belief) of infidels? God increased infirmity in some and let others astray. He must have learnt such things from Satan and Satan from God.

“And we said, O Adam, dwell thou and thy wife in the garden, and eat of the fruit thereof plentifully wherever ye will; but approach not this tree, lest ye become of the number of the transgressors. But Satan caused them to forfeit paradise, and turned them out of the state of happiness wherein they had been, whereupon we said, Get down, one of you shall be an enemy unto the other; and there shall be a dwelling place for you on earth, a provision for a season. And Adam learned words of prayer for His Lord and then came down to earth.”

(Chapter 2: 33-35)

This indicates that God was not omniscient. He, at one moment, blesses Adam saying “Dwell thou....

In the garden” and in the next turns them out. Had He been cognizant of the future, He would not have blessed him at all! It also appears that God was powerless to punish Satan, the tempter.

Did God plant that tree for Himself or for others? If it was for others He should not have prevented them (Adam and his wife) from tasting the fruit thereof. God could never do such things, nor could they be ever found in His book.

What were the words Adam learnt from God and how did Adam come down on earth? Is the paradise somewhere in the sky or on some hill? Did Adam fly sown like a bird or fall down like a stone?

It appears that there is dust in paradise since Adam was made of dust. Angels too like Adam must also have been made of dust inasmuch as bodily organs cannot be made without dust (earthly material) but the body made of dust, must perish. Hence if the angels are also subject to death, one should like to know where they go after death.

On the other hand, if they do not die, they could not have been born, but if they were born, they would surely die. If this is the case, the statement of

the Quran that women in paradise live forever cannot be valid inasmuch as they must also die. It follows, therefore, that all those who go to heaven will also die.

11

ALLAH IS PREJUDICED AND PARTIAL

“Dread the day wherein one soul shall not make satisfaction for another soul, neither shall any intercession be accepted from them, nor shall any compensation be received, neither shall they be helped.”

(Chapter 2: 48)

Should we not dread the present? One should dread evil doing on all days. If it is true that no intercession will be accepted, how can this statement be reconciled with the belief of the Mohammedan that they will go to paradise through the intercession of the Prophet? Does God help only those who are in paradise and not those who are in hell? If it is so, God is not free from prejudice.

12

QURAN IS MEANINGLESS IF THE MESSAGE WAS

18

ALREADY GIVEN TO MOSES

“We gave Moses the book and the miracles. We said unto them, be ye changed into scouted apes. And we made them an example unto those who were contemporary with them and unto those who came after them, and a warning to the pious.”

(Chapter 2:53)

If the book was given to Moses, the revelation of the Quran becomes meaningless. Both Quran and the Bible assert that Moses was endowed with miraculous powers, but it is absolutely incredible, inasmuch as no man can work miracles nowadays, and what cannot be done in our day, could never have been done in the past.

Moses must have resorted to hypocrisy in the same way as the selfish impose upon the credulity of the ignorant at the present time. Now, why does not God endow anyone with miraculous powers when both He and His devotees exist in our day.

Where was the necessity of sending down the Quran when God had already given Moses a book? If the teachings about doing good and abstaining from evil are the same in the Bible and Quran, the sending

down of two different books was nothing but a mere repetition of the same. Again, did God forget to record something in the book given to Moses that He had to send down another book later on?

Now, either what God said regarding their (transgressors') being changed into scouted apes in order to make an example for others never came to pass or He must have resorted to trickery.

Whoever does such things cannot be God, nor can the book containing them be divine in origin.

13

IF THE DEAD WERE RAISED IN PAST,
WHY NOT NOW

“So God raiseth the dead to life, and shows you his signs, that per adventure ye may understand.”

(Chapter 2: 67)

If God raised the dead to life (in the past), why does He not do so now? Will they all remain lying in their graves till the day of judgment? Is your God on tour in these days (that He cannot find time to administer justice)? Are these (raising the dead etc.)

the only proofs (of the existence) of God? Are not the earth, the sun, and the moon, etc., His signs? Is the wonderful design so manifestly seen existing in the world of no significance?

14

WAS ALLAH SITTING IDLE BEFORE CREATION?

“They shall continue to be the companions of paradise.”

(Chapter 2:75)

As the soul is finite, its deeds – good or bad – cannot be infinite. Soul, therefore, cannot be sent to an everlasting hell or heaven. Should God do so, His justice will be destroyed, or would He be considered and an enlightened Being.

If there is one judgment day for all souls, their virtuous and sinful acts must be equal. Human deeds being finite their fruits – reward or punishment – cannot be infinite.

The Mohammedans believe that the world had been in existence for less than or eight thousand years.

One should like to know if God was sitting idle before Creation and will do the same after the day of

judgment. These are all childish things because God is ever active and awards every soul reward or punishment in proportion to the nature and amount of its virtuous and sinful deeds. Hence what the Quran teaches (on the subject) is not right.

15

QURAN – MERELY AN ECHO OF BIBLE

“And when we made a covenant with you that ye should not you're your own blood, nor expel one another from your abodes, then ye ratified it and yourselves were witnesses. Then were ye the very persons who slew one another, and ye drove out a part of your own people from their abodes.”

(Chapter 2: 78-79)

Is the making of covenants the work of man, possessed of finite powers, or of God? God being Omniscient cannot behave like an ordinary man.

Now, what kind of virtue is this to consider it wrong to shed the blood of one's brethren or to dispossess them of their houses, but to regard it commendable to shed the blood of others and dispossess them of their houses? It is merely the result of folly and

prejudice to believe in such things. Did not God know it beforehand that men would act against their covenant? It appears from this that the Mohammedan God has many attributes in common with the God of the Christians and that the Quran is not an independent book in itself, and with a few exceptions it is a mere echo of the Bible.

16

JEALOUS AND MALEVOLENT ALLAH

“These are they who purchase this present life at the price of that which is to come: their torment shall not be lightened, neither shall be helped.”

(Chapter 2: 80)

Can God ever act so jealously and malevolently? Who are those whose sins will be remitted or who will be helped? If they are sinners whose sins will be remitted without any punishment being inflicted on them, then God’s justice will be destroyed. If their sins will be remitted after they have undergone punishment for them, the men referred to in the verse will have also to suffer punishment for their sins.

But if the remission of sins refers to those men who are pious, they by virtue of their pious life have no sins to be remitted, what will God remit then? One naturally infers from this that whoever uttered these words was not an enlightened person. In fact, the righteous and the unrighteous should be awarded reward – happiness or punishment – sorrow and suffering – according to the nature of their deeds – virtuous or sinful.

17

ALL THINGS AGAINST LAWS OF NATURE
ARE FALSE

“Moreover, to Moses gave we “The Book” and we, raised up apostles after him; and to Jesus, son of Mary, gave we clear proofs of his mission, and strengthened him by the Holy Spirit. So oft then as an apostle cometh to you with that which your souls desire not, swell ye with pride, and treat some as imposters, and slay others.”

(Chapter 2: 81)

When the Quran bears witness to this that God gave the book of law to Moses, it is incumbent on Mohammedans to believe it. All the defects found

therein will, therefore, be regarded as those of the Muslim religion itself. The story of miracles seems to have been connected with a view to playing upon the credulity of simple and ignorant people.

All things which are against the laws of nature are false. If miracles could be wrought in those days, why can't they be done nowadays? If not miracles can be worked now, it is certain that none were wrought in days gone by.

“Although they had before prayed for victory over those who prayed not – yet when that Quran came to them, of which they had knowledge, they did not recognize it. The curse of God is on the infidels!”

(Chapter 2: 83)

You call men professing other religions infidels, while they do the same to you, and their God curses you in the same way. Now, will you please tell us which of the two should be considered right and which wrong? On reflection, it is clear that there are errors in all creeds.

WHAT KIND OF GOD WOULD HAVE ENEMIES?

“Whoso is an enemy to God or his angels or to Gabriel, or to Michael, shall have God for his enemy, for verily God is an enemy to infidels.”

(Chapter 2: 92)

Where does this host of partners come from, if, as the Mohammedans say, God is one without a partner? Is he who is an enemy to others also an enemy to God? This can never be true since God is an enemy to none.

“And say forgiveness; and we will pardon you your sins, give an increase to the doers of good.”

(Chapter 2:55)

Does not this (so-called) Divine teaching encourage people to live sinful lives? Why should one fear sin when he is given the promise of redemption? He that gives such a promise cannot be God, nor can a book that inculcates such a doctrine be the Word of God. God can never do injustice, but if He pardons the sinners, He renders Himself unjust.

“And when Moses asked drink for his people, we said “strike the rock with thy rod;” and from it there gushed twelve fountains.”

(Chapter 2:57)

Now, can anyone (except the Mohammedan God) utter such impossibilities? It is absolutely impossible to believe that twelve springs could gush forth on striking a rock with a rod unless it had been hollowed out in the centre and filled with water and twelve holes bored therein.

“But God will show his special mercy to whom He will.”

(Chapter 2:99)

Does God show His special mercy to those who do not deserve it? If He does, He works great mischief, for all men will become indifferent to the practice of virtue. No one will then lead a virtuous life and hate sin since His mercy depends upon His (arbitrary) will and not upon one’s deeds.

“People may not, out of malice, try to turn you away from your religion, for there are among them many a friend of the faithful.”

(Chapter 2:101)

Now, behold! God Himself put them on their guard lest the infidels should turn them away from their faith. Is not God omniscient? Such things cannot be true of God.

19

IF ALLAH IS IN EVERY DIRECTION, WHY
MUSLIMS FACE ONLY MECCA?

“Whichever way ye turn, there is the face of God.”

(Chapter 2:109)

If this is true, why do the Mohammedans turn their face towards *Qibla* (i.e., the Sacred Mosque at Mecca)? If it be argued that they have been commanded to do so, to answer that they have also been permitted to turn their face in whatever direction they choose. Not which of these two (contradictory statements) should be held to be true. If God has a face, it can only be in one direction and not in all directions at one and the same time.

20

OUT OF NOTHING, NOTHING CAN
COME

28

“Sole Maker of the Heavens and the Earth! And when He decreeth a thing, He only saith to it, “Be” it is.”

(Chapter 2:111)

Now, who heard God when He said: “Be”? Whom did He address that word and what came into being? Where from did this world come into existence when it is written in the Quran that nothing but God existed before Creation? No effect can be produced without a cause. How could He have then created this vast universe without (material) cause? You cannot even make one leg of a fly, how can you then believe that God created this world by the fiat of His Will.

Mohammedan: God is Almighty. He can do whatever He wills.

Kafir: What does the word Almighty mean?

Mohammedan: It means that He can do whatever He likes.

Kafir: Can He create another God? Can He die? Can He become ill, ignorant or destitute of knowledge?

Mohammedan: No, He cannot.

Kafir: It is proved then that God cannot do anything

against His Own nature, attributes and characteristics or against those of others (matter and the soul). Three things are essential for the production of an effect:-

- The (Efficient Cause) Maker, such as the potter in the case of the pot.
- The Material Cause, such as clay.
- The instruments, to make an object like a pot.

Now, just as the potter, clay and the necessary instruments must exist before the pot can be manufactured, likewise, God, and Prakriti – the material cause of the Universe – with their inherent nature, attributes and characteristics must have existed before this Universe came into being. Hence what the Quran says about Creation is altogether absurd.

21

WERE THERE NO PLACES SACRED
BEFORE KAABA?

“When we decreed that the Kaba is sacred, you should go to

Abraham's place for prayers."

(Chapter 2: 117)

Had not God appointed sacred place before He sanctified Kaba? If he had, where was the necessity for consecrating Kaba? But if He had not it is indeed a pity that those who were born before that period had to go without a holy place. Perhaps it had not struck God to consecrate a place like Kaba before that.

"And who but he that hath debased his soul to folly will dislike the faith of Abraham, when we have chosen him in this world, and in the world to come he shall be of the Just."

(Chapter 2: 124)

Now, can it ever be true that he who does not like the faith of Abraham is a fool? Why did God choose Abraham alone (as the founder of the true faith)? If he did so on account of his being very religious, there were many others who were as religious as he, why did He not choose them as (His prophets)? But if he chose him because he was irreligious, He acted unjustly. It is quite true that is only the righteous who are loved by God and not others.

MUSLIMS, IDOL-WORSHIPPERS?

“We have seen thee turning towards every part of Heaven; but we will have thee turn to Kibla which shall please thee. Turn then thy face towards the sacred Mosque, and wherever ye be, turn your face, towards that part.”

(Chapter 2: 139)

Now, is this trivial idolatry? We should think, it is the crudest form of idolatry.

Mohammedan: We Mohammedans are not image-worshippers but image-breakers because we do not believe that Kibla is God.

Kafir: They too, whom you call image-worshippers, do not regard the image as God. They profess to worship God behind the image. If you are image-breakers, why do you not break that big image called Kibla (the Sacred Mosque)?

Mohammedan: Good! We have the authority of the Quran in turning our faces towards the Kibla, while the image-worshippers have none in their Veda to worship images. We must obey God anyhow.

Kafir: Just as you have the authority of the Quran, the image-worshippers have that of the Puraanaas. As you believe the Quran to be the Word of God, even so do they believe the Puraanaas to be the Word of God's incarnation, Vyas. The difference between the Pauranics and yourselves is this that you worship a big image, while they bow down before the smaller ones. Your case is just the same as that of a man who strains at a gnat but swallows a camel.

Your Mohammad expunged the worship of small images from the Muslim faith but introduced into it the worship of the sacred Mosque (at Mecca) which is as big as a hill. Is this idol worship on a small scale? You could be free yourselves from image-worship and the like evil practices only by embracing the Vedic religion and not otherwise. Unless you give up the worship of your big image, you should feel ashamed of yourselves and abstain from condemning the worship of small images found in other faiths and purify your hearts by avoiding idolatry.

“And say not of those who are slain on God’s path that they are dead, nay, they are living! But ye understand not.”

(Chapter 2:149)

Where is the necessity of slaying other and of being slain on God’s path? Why do you not say plainly that all this is meant for accomplishing your selfish ends? You hold out this inducement to people that they may fight well and help you to gain victory you’re your enemies and acquire wealth and power by looting other and thereby enable you to live in luxury and enjoy sensual pleasures.

“God is severe in chastising. Follow not the steps of Satan, He only enjoineth upon you evil and wickedness and that ye should aver of God that which ye know not.”

(Chapter 2: 164-165)

You’re your God punish the wicked and reward the virtuous, or does He show mercy to the Mohammedans and torture others? If the latter, He is not God. But if your God is not partial (to your), He will reward the virtuous and punish the wicked whatever religion they may profess. This being the case, the belief in the Quran and in Mohammad (as

the prophet of God) becomes unnecessary. Why did God create Satan – the enemy of the human race who has been tempting all mankind. Is He not cognizant of the future? If you say, He has created Satan just to try man, it cannot be right because only one who is possessed of finite knowledge would do such a thing; while One who is Omniscient is already aware of the good or evil deeds of the soul. Now, if Satan tempts all mankind, who tempted Satan? If it is said that Satan tempts himself, why could not others tempt themselves? Where is then the necessity of supposing Satan to be the tempter of all mankind? If God was the tempter of the Devil, He was more devilish than the Devil. But such a thing could not be said of God. Whosoever goes astray from the right path does so through evil company and ignorance.

“But that which dieth of itself, and blood, and swine’s flesh, and that over which any other name than that of God hath been invoked is forbidden you.”

(Chapter 2: 168)

Now, one should pause to think and realize that an animal whether it dies a natural death or is put to death, is a dead body all the same; of course, there

is a little difference but that difference counts for nothing so far as death is concerned. Swine's flesh is forbidden (but not human flesh), shall we then conclude that is right to eat human flesh? Can it ever be commendable to torture animals to death in God's name? This casts a blot on the good name of God.

Why does He suffer the animals to be tortured by the Mohammedans in the absence of sins committed in their previous lives (by those animals)? Is He not Merciful to them? Does He not love them as a father loves his children? God did not forbid the slaughter of such animals as are useful to man, and by failing to do it has proved Himself to be an enemy of the human race and brought disgrace on Him by being guilty of having sanctioned the slaughter of (useful) animals. Such things can never be true of God or of His word.

24

FASTING COPIED FROM HINDUISM

"You are allowed on the night of the fast to approach your wives, they are your garment and ye are their garment. God knoweth that ye defraud yourself therein, so He turneth unto

you and forgiveth you! Now, therefore, go in unto them with full desire for that which God hath ordained for you; and eat and drink until ye can discern a white thread from a black thread by the daybreak.”

(Chapter 2: 183)

It seems that at the time the Mohammedan religion came into being or before that period some (Arab) must have asked a follower of the Puraanics as to what was the mode of observing the Chandrayana fast, the latter being ignorant of the true method of observing this fast, which consists in decreasing or increasing one mouthful of food at a time in accordance with the increase or decrease in the digits of the moon and taking one's food in the middle of the day, might have told him that one should take his food on seeing the moon.

The Mohammedans have thus adopted the Chandrayana fast in a corrupted form.

But there is one great difference between the two fast; sexual intercourse is forbidden in the Chandrayana but is permitted in the Mohammedan fast since it is said (in the Quran) “go in unto them with full desire.” Again, the Mohammedans are allowed

to eat at night time as often as they like. Now, what kind of fast is it to eat during the night and abstain from food during the day? It is contrary to the laws of nature to take one's food during the night and abstain from it during the day.

25

LOSING POLITICAL SUPREMACY IN THEIR FIGHT FOR CAUSE FOR ALLAH

“And fight for the cause of God against those who fight against you. And kill them wherever ye shall find them; and eject them from whatever place they ejected you! For civil discord is worse than courage. Fight therefore against them until there be no more civil discord.”

(Chapter 2: 186, 187, 189)

Had no such teachings existed in the Quran, the Mohammedans would not have been so cruel to the non-Mohammedans. They have greatly sinned by slaughtering the innocent. They hold that one who does not believe in the Mohammedan religion is an *infidel* and that it is better to out the infidels to the sword. They have always lived up to their professions in this respect. They have lost their political supremacy

while “fighting” for the cause of their God.

This religion does indeed teach cruelty towards the non Mohammedans. Should theft be punished with theft? Should we also break into the house of a person because he has stolen our property? Surely this is not right. If an ignorant man abuses us, shall we also abuse him in return? Such things can never be taught by God nor by one of His enlightened votaries, nor could they be found in His word. They can only be the utterances of an ignorant and selfish man.

“But God loveth not disorder. O believer! Enter completely into the true religion.”

(Chapter 2: 202, 204)

Had not God loved disorder, why would He have prompted them (the Muslims) to fight and befriended the quarrelsome Mohammedans? Is God pleased with one only when he embraces the Mohammedan religion? If so, He is partial to the Mohammedans, He, therefore, cannot be the Lord of the Universe. This clearly shows that neither the Quran is the Word of God, nor is the God described therein the true God.

“God is Bounteous without measure to whom He will.”

(Chapter 2:208)

Does He show His bounty without paying any regard to our good or evil deeds? If so, sin and virtue are alike, since pleasure or pain (depends not upon our deeds, but) on the (arbitrary) will of God. Now, it is such teachings that have encouraged the Mohammedans to deviate from the path of rectitude and live free lives. Of course, those of the Mohammedans who do not believe in such things live virtuous lives.

26

WOMEN ARE POLLUTION?

“They will also question thee as to the courses of women, say: they are a pollution, separate yourself, therefore, from women, and approach them not, until they be cleansed. But when they are cleansed, go in unto them as God hath ordained for you. Your wives are your field, go in, therefore, to your field as ye will. God will not punish you for taking your oaths falsely.”

(Chapter 2: 246)

Prohibition of sexual intercourse during menstruation is commendable, but likening women to a field

and giving permission to approach them whenever (the faithful) desired will make (them) lascivious. If God does not punish one for swearing falsely, all men would become liars God will thus stand guilty of encouraging untruthfulness in speech.

“Who is he that will lend to God a goodly loan? He will double it to him again and again.”

(Chapter 2: 246)

Now, why should God take a loan? Does He, who has created the whole universe, stand in need of taking a loan from Men? No, never. Only an ignorant man can say such things about God.

Was his treasury exhausted or had He become bankrupt by engaging in trade and banking? Did he act like a businessman when He promised to pay double of what was lent to him? Such things are done only by one who is bankrupt, or by one whose expenses exceed his income, but not by God.

“Some of them believed, and some were infidels; yet if God had pleased, they would not have thus wrangled, but God doth what He will.”

(Chapter 2: 254)

Do all quarrels that arise in the world proceed from Divine will? Could God commit a sin if He willed? If He could, He is no God, because no good person, let alone God, will ever do such a thing, in other words, he would never cause a breach of the peace or foment quarrels.

“Whatever exists on the earth or in the sky is for Him; His chair has, as it were, occupied all earth and space.”

(Chapter 2: 150)

God has created whatever exists on the earth or in the sky for the good of the soul and not for His own use because He is called Purnakama (i.e., one whose desires are fulfilled.) He does not stand in need of anything. He must be localized indeed when He has got a chair, but such a Being can never be God as he is All-pervading.

“Since God bringeth the sun from the East, do thou, then, bring it from the West.” The infidel was confounded; for, God guideth not evildoers.”

(Chapter 2: 260)

O what ignorance! The sun does not rise in the East and set in the West, nor does it rise in the West and set in the East. It moves on its own axis. Now, it is positively certain that the author of the Quran knew neither Astronomy nor Geography.

If (the Mohammedan) God does not guide the evil doers, He is not needed at all, for the righteous always walk in the path of rectitude. It is only those who have gone astray that need guidance. It is a great mistake on the part of the God of the Quran that He has neglected His duty.

“He said, “take thou, four birds and draw them towards thee, and cut them in pieces; then place apart of them on every mountain; the call them and they shall come swiftly to thee.”

(Chapter 2: 262)

Now, is not the Mohammedan God more like a juggler showing his tricks? Does His God head rest on such things/ the wise will keep aloof from such a

God, it is the ignorant alone who will be caught in His trap. (The Mohammedan) God will thus, instead of enhancing His reputation, bring disgrace on Himself.

“But God guideth whom he pleaseth.”

(Chapter 2: 274)

If God guides whom He pleases, He might be misleading other with whom He is not pleased. He alone can be called God and *apta* (true teacher) who impartially guides all.

28

ALLAH IS NOTHING ELSE BUT A TYRANT

“Whom He pleaseth will He forgive; and whom He pleaseth will he punish; for God is All-powerful.”

(Chapter 2: 266)

Does not God act like a tyrant when He does not forgive those who deserve forgiveness and forgives those who are not worthy of being forgiven? The soul should not be held responsible for its actions, if God makes one virtuous or wicked just as He pleases, nor should the soul, therefore, be endowed with

happiness or afflicted with pain and suffering just as soldier if he kills a person under the direction of his superior officer is not held responsible for his act.

29

PARADISE A BROTHEL?

“Say: shall I tell you of better things than those prepared for those who fear God in His presence: There shall be gardens, beneath whose pavilion the river flow, and in which shall they continue to show for ever, and women of stainless purity, and acceptance with God; for God regardeth His servants.”

(Chapter 3: 12)

Now, is it paradise or a brothel? Should we call such Being (as described in the Quran) God or a libertine? No enlightened man can ever believe such a book to be the Word of God. Why does God show favouritism? Where the women that live in paradise born here (in this world) and then went there, or were they never born at all? If they went there from here, why were they allowed to enter paradise before their husbands? Why did God violate His law of judging all persons on the last day for the sake of women? On the other hand, if they were born there, how

can they control their passions? But if they have got their husbands with them, how will God manage to provide the faithful with women when they enter paradise? Why does He not keep also men forever there in paradise justs He keeps women? This goes to show the Mohammedan God is unjust and ignorant.

30

ISLAM, TRUE RELIGION?

“The true religion with God is Islam.”

(Chapter 3:17)

Is God the Lord of the Mohammedans alone? Did not Divine religion exist at all thirteen hundred years back? It shows that the Quran is not the word of God, but of some bigot.

31

MUSLIMS ARE EMBODIMENTS OF BIGOTRY AND IGNORANCE

“Every soul shall be paid what it hath earned, and they shall not be wronged. Say! O God, possessor of all power, thou givest power to whom thou wilt, and from whom thou wilt,

thou takest it away! Thou raisest up whom thou wilt, thou dost abase! In thy hand is good, for thou art overall things potent. Thou consentest the night to pass into the day and thou consentest the day to pass into the night. Thou bringest the living out of the dead, and thou bringest the dead out of the living: and thou givest sustenance to whom thou wilt, without measure. Let not believers take infidels for their friends, rather believers. Whoso shall do this, hath nothing to hope from God. Say; If ye love God, then follow me, God will love you, and forgive your sins, for God is Forgiving. Merciful.”

(Chapter 3:21-24, 27)

Is it not sheer prejudice to call those, who do not profess Islam, infidels? That Being cannot be God who teaches that the Mohammedans should not associate even with good people of other faiths, while they may take even the wicked Mohammedans for their friends. One is therefore fully justified in inferring that this Quran, its God and the Mohammedans are embodiments of bigotry and ignorance. The Mohammedans are, therefore, groping in the dark, now reader mark!

How clever Mohammad is! He makes his God says in this verse that God will love those who follow Mohammad and even their sins will be forgiven. This

shows that the heart of Mohammad was not pure. It appears that the heart of Mohammad was not pure. It appears that Mohammad (made or) had the Quran made in order to serve his selfish interests.

“O Mary! Verily hath God chosen thee and purified thee an chosen thee above the women of the world!”

(Chapter 3: 33)

Now, how can we believe that God and His angels came down to talk to men in ancient times when they do not do so nowadays? If it is argued that then the people were very virtuous, it cannot be true. The fact of the matter is that at that time the majority of the people were uncivilized and ignorant, hence it was that such religions as the Christian and the Mohammedan, which are so opposed to the dictates of knowledge, took root and flourished. But now the people are enlightened, these hollow faiths cannot flourish; on the other hand, they are on the decline.

32

ALLAH, THE GREAT DECEIVER

“He that said to him “Be” and he was. The infidels played a

trick with God and He played a trick with them, for, verily God is a great trickster.”

(Chapter 3: 50, 52)

Whom did God order, since the Mohammedans do not believe that anything but God existed before Creation and what came into being? The Mohammedans could never answer these questions even if they were to be born seven times because no effect can come into being without a material cause. To believe otherwise is to deny that one's parents were the cause of his body. He who cheats and plays tricks with others can never be God, he cannot even be called a gentleman.

“Is it not enough for you you're your Lord aideth you with three thousand angels sent down from on high?”

(Chapter 3:120)

If God really aided the Mohammedans with three thousand angels in the past, why does He not help them now that their rule (in India and other countries) has greatly declined and is still declining? The real object of this verse is to tempt the ignorant and thereby ensnare them into the Mohammedan

religion.

“And help us against the unbelieving people. But God is your real Lord, and He is the best of helpers. And if ye shall be slain or die on the path of God.”

(Chapter 3: 111, 141, 142, 151)

Now, reader mark the error of the Mohammedans! They pray for the destruction of those who differ from them in religious opinions. Is God such a simpleton that He will grant their prayer? If God is the best helper of the Mohammedans only, why should they fail in their undertakings? Besides, He seems to be passionately fond of them. If He is so partial (to the Mohammedans), He is not worthy of being worshipped by the righteous.

“Nor is God minded to lay open the secret thing to you but God chooseth what he will of his apostles to know them. Believe, therefore, in God and his apostles.”

(Chapter 3:174)

Why does this verse inculcate faith in Mohammad along with that in God, when the Mohammedans profess to believe in none but God, and hold that

none is worthy of sharing homage with Him? Hence they cannot call God Incomparable.

If it is argued that this verse only teaches that people should have faith in Mohammad as a Prophet, we should like to know where is the necessity of Mohammad (being regarded as a Prophet). If God cannot accomplish His desired object without making him His Prophet, He is certainly powerless.

33

PROPHET AND ALLAH WERE BOTH
QUARRELSOME

“O ye believers! Be patient, keep everything in its proper place and keep on fighting. Fear God that you may attain salvation.”

(Chapter 3:178)

The God of the Quran and His Prophet were both pugnacious. He who orders (his followers) to wage war is the real disturber of the peace. Can one attain salvation by having a nominal fear of God or by abstaining from fighting for an unrighteous cause? If the former, it cannot be true, but if the latter, it is perfectly right.

“These are the frontiers of God’s Empire, He who will believe in God and His apostle will go to paradise which has canals in it and this indeed is the one great aim of man. He who goes against the orders of God and His Prophet will be turned out of His frontiers. He will burn in everlasting fire and constant suffering shall increase his misery.”

(Chapter 4: 12, 13)

Now, (the Mohammedan) God Himself has made Mohammad His partner (in Divine honours, etc.) and has Himself declared this fact in the Quran. God is so much attached to Mohammad that He has made him His partner even in paradise. It is useless to call the Mohammedan God Independent when He is dependent upon Mohammad for every little thing. Such things can never be found in a revealed book.

“God truly will not do anyone injustice even of the weight of a mote, and if there be any good deed, he will repay it doubly.”

(Chapter 4: 45)

Why does God repay good deeds doubly if he would not do injustice even of the weight of a mote? Why is He so partial to the Mohammedans? He would be unjust indeed if He were to award the soul reward

or punishment out of proportion to its deeds.

34

ALLAH'S OMNISCENCY IN DOUBT,
KEEPS A MEMORANDUM

“When they come forth from Thy presence, a party of them broods by night over other than thy words; but God writeth down what they brood over. Desire ye to guide those whom God hath led astray? But for him whom God leadeth astray, thou shalt by no means find a pathway.”

(Chapter 4: 90)

Now, God cannot be Omniscient since He keeps a Daybook and a Ledger. But if He is Omniscient, why would He keep a memorandum.

The Mohammedans hold that the devil is wicked since he tempts all. One should like to know the difference between God and the Devil considering that God Himself leads the people astray.

Of course, there is this much difference that God is the greater Devil of the two because the Mohammedans themselves aver that he that enticeth is the devil. Verily their own affirmation makes out their

God a veritable Devil.

35

THE HEIGHT OF PREJUDICE

If they do not withhold their hands, seize them, and slay them, wherever you find them. A believer killeth not a believer but by mischance, and whoso killeth a believer by mischance shall be bound to free a believer from slavery; and the blood money shall be made o the family of the slain believer unless they convert it into alms. But if the slain believer be of a hostile people, let him confer freedom on a slave who is a believer. But whoever shall kill a believer of a set purpose, his recompense shall be hell, for ever shall he abide in it, God shall be wrathful with him.”

(Chapter 4: 94-96)

This is the height of prejudice. The Quran enjoins on its believers to kill the non-Mohammedans but to spare the Mohammedans. If they kill heir co-religionists by mischance, they shall have to make aments for it by freeing a believer from slavery, but if they kill non-Mohammedans, even though it be through a mistake, they shall inherit Heaven.

Such teachings deserve to be utterly discarded. Such a book, such a Prophet and such a religion do nothing but harm. The world would be better off without them. Wise men would do well to discard a religion so absurd and accept the Vedic faith which is absolutely free from error. The Mohammedans say that one who kills a Mohammedan shall be condemned to a residence in hell; on the other hand, believers in other religions contend that a man attains to heaven by killing a Mohammedan, now which of the two should one believe to be true and which false?

The fact is that all false creeds begotten of ignorance should be renounced, the Vedic religion alone deserving the allegiance of all – a religion which directs every human being to follow in the footsteps of the righteous and shun the path of the wicked.

“But whosoever shall sever himself from the Prophet after that -the guidance- has been manifested to him, shall follow any other path than that of the faithful, we will cast him into hell.”

(Chapter 4: 135)

Now, mark the prejudice of God and of His Prophet! Mohammad like other men of his stamp was well aware that if he did not stamp his religion

with divine authority it would never flourish, or would he or his followers be able to obtain help and power which might help them to live a life of ease and luxury. All this goes to show that Mohammad knew only too well how to compass his selfish ends and to deprive others of their due – a fact which proves that he was no well-wisher of humanity. Such a man can never command the trust and confidence of good and enlightened men.

“Verily, they who believed, then become unbelievers, then believed and again became unbelievers, and then increased their unbelief – it is not God who will forgive them, or guide them into the way.”

(Chapter 4: 134)

“Whoever believed, not in God and His Angels and His Book and His Apostles, and the last day, he verily hath erred.”

(Chapter 4: 135)

Can you ever now assert that God is one without a second? Is it not self-contradictory to call God Incomparable and yet at the same time believe that there are others who share Divine privileges with Him? Does not God forgive sins after he has done so

three times? Does not God guide men after they have denied Him and His Prophet more than three times? Even if all were to take advantage of the teachings of this verse, unbelief will multiply immensely.

36

“BIRDS OF A FEATHER FLOCK
TOGETHER”

“Verily, God will gather the hypocrites and the infidels all together in hell.”

(Chapter 4: 139)

“The hypocrites would deceive God, but He will deceive them!”

(Chapter 4: 141)

“Take not infidels for friends rather than believers!”

(Chapter 4: 148)

What proof is there that the Mohammedans will go to heaven and the non-Mohammedans will go to hell? He is indeed a fine God! May such a God as deceives other and is deceived by them always keep away from us. Let him associate with those that are

hypocrites and cheats, because “Birds of a feather flock together.”

Why should not they whose God deceives others be themselves cheated? Can it be right for anyone to associate with a wicked Mohammedan and hate a good man who is other than a Mohammedan?

“God is a sufficient guardian.”

(Chapter 4: 162)

“O men! Now hath an apostle come to you with truth from your Lord. Believe them.”

(Chapter 4: 168)

Now, does not the Prophet share with God homage due to Him when men have been directed by the Quran to have faith in the Prophets. It is only because God is localized and not all-pervading that apostles come down from Him. Such a being can never be God.

In one place it is written (in the Quran) that God is All-pervading, while in another it is said that He is localized. This goes to show that the Quran is the work of more than one person.

“That which dieth of itself, and blood, and swine’s flesh, and all that hath been sacrificed under the invocation of any other name than that of God, and the strangled, and the killed by a blow, or by a fall, or by goring and that which hath been eaten by beasts of prey is forbidden you.”

(Chapter 5: 4)

Are those the only animals and things that are forbidden? Maybe, it is permissible for the Mohammedans to eat other animals, creeping insects and ants, etc. From this, it is clear that the Quran is not the Word of God. It is a human work. Hence it cannot be believed in.

“I will surely put away from you your evil deeds, I will bring you into gardens, beneath which the rivers flow! And lend God a liberal loan, and I will send you to paradise.”

(Chapter 5: 12)

It seems that the Mohammedan God must have been reduced to poverty. Otherwise, why would He have asked for a loan and tempted them by saying that He would free them from their sins and send them to Heaven? It appears that Mohammad gained his selfish ends by defrauding others in the name of

God.

“He will pardon whom he pleaseth and chastise whom he pleaseth. He gave you what never before had been given to any human being.”

(Chapter 5: 21, 23)

Just as Satan leads whoever he likes into sin, even so does the Mohammedan God. This being the case, it is God alone who should go to heaven or hell, for (according to the Mohammedan scriptures) He is the doer of all deeds – good or evil. The soul is not a free-agent (and hence it is not responsible for its actions), just as it is the commander of an army who is responsible for whatever it does, in the matter of protecting some and killing others, under his orders and not the army.

“Obey God and obey the apostle.”

(Chapter 5: 93)

This goes to show that God is not “One without a second,” hence it is absurd for the Mohammedans to believe that it is otherwise.

THE Q'URAN PROMOTES SINFUL
CONDUCT

God forgiveth what is past; but whoever doth it again, God will take vengeance on him."

(Chapter 5: 96)

The forgiveness of sin is almost as bad as the sanction of its commission which encourages its further growth. A book whose teachings tend to encourage the commission of sin can neither be the Word of God, nor the work of an enlightened author. It is, on the contrary, one that promotes sinful conduct. It is true though that the prevention of the further commission of sin can be secured by one's praying to God, repenting of his past conduct and by exerting himself to his utmost (to lead a virtuous life.)

PROPHET AN IMPOSTER

"And is there anyone more wicked than he who deviseth a life of God, or saith "I have had a revelation" when nothing revealed

to him? And who saith I can bring down a book like that which God hath sent down."

(Chapter 5: 93)

This shows that when Mohammad pretended to have had a revelation from God, some other imposter also declared that he too had received heavenly messages, and claimed to be a Prophet. Mohammad must have resorted to his device (i.e., the publication of the above verse) in order to defeat his opponent and increase his reputation.

"We created you; then fashioned you; then said we to the angels. "Prostrate yourselves unto Adam," and they prostrated them all in worship, save Eblis! He was not among those who prostrated themselves. To him said God: "What hath hindered the from prostrating thyself in worship at my bidding?" He said, "Nobler am I than he: me hast thou created of fire; of clay has thou created him." He said, 'Get thee gone hence; paradise is no place for thy pride; get thee then, one of the despised shalt thou be." He said, "Respite me till the day when mankind shall be raised from the dead." He said, "Now, for that thou hast cursed me to err, surely in thy straight path will I lay wait for them: then will I surely come upon them from before, and from behind, and from their right hand, and from their

left, and thou shalt not find the greater part of them to be thankful. 'He said, "Go forth from it, a scorned, a banished one! Whoever of them shall follow thee, I will surely fill hell with you, one and all."

(Chapter 10: 10-17)

Now, reader, follow attentively this dispute between God and the Devil. This Devil was an angel who was no better than a menial servant, even he could not be kept under control by God, not could the Almighty purify his heart. On the top of this, God let the rebel who tempted all to commit sin go unpunished. God did indeed commit a great blunder (in doing so). Now, the Devil being the tempter of all and God being his tempter, it is clear that God is the greater Devil of the two because the latter clearly says (to God) that he had led him astray. This goes to show that God is even destitute of purity and is at the root of all evils. Only the Mohammedans could believe in such a God but no other enlightened men. The Mohammedan God is proved to be (finite, unjust) and embodied since He talked (with angels, etc.) just like a man. This is the reason that the educated people do not approve of the faith of Islam.

ALLAH IS ALSO NOT OMNIPRESENT

“Your Lord is God, who in six days created the Heaven and the Earth, and then mounted the throne. Call upon your God with lowliness and in secret.”

(Chapter 7: 52-53)

Can He, who creates the world in six days and rests on His throne in heaven, ever be an Omnipotent, and Omnipresent God? Being destitute of such attributes (as Omnipresence) He cannot even be called God? Is your God deaf that He can hear you only when He is spoken to (aloud)? All these things cannot be from God. Hence the Quran cannot be the Word of God. He must have indeed got fatigued when He had to rest on the seventh day after having created the world in six days. We wonder if He is still asleep for has awakened. If He is awake, is He there doing something or just strolling about and enjoying Himself.

“Lay not earth waste with deeds of license.”

(Chapter 7: 52)

This is indeed good advice, but in other places (in the Quran) the faithful have been directed to wage war against infidels and even to slaughter them. Does not the Quran now contradict itself? It appears that Mohammad must have adopted the first course when he was weak and the second one when he had gained power. These two teachings being self-contradictory cannot be true.

“So he threw down his rod, and lo! It distinctly became a serpent.”

(Chapter 5: 105)

This goes to indicate that even God and Mohammad believed in such false things. If so, both of them were ignorant. The laws of nature can never be subverted just as no man can make the eyes and the ears do the work of other senses. This is more jugglery.

“And we sent upon them the flood and the locusts and the lice and the frog and the blood. Therefore, we took vengeance on them and drowned them in the sea and we brought the children of Israel across the sea. For the worship, they practice in vain.”

(Chapter 7: 130, 132, 134)

Now, behold! Is it not just like what an imposter does when he frightens a man by saying that he will send snakes to kill him? Why is not God, who is bigoted that He drowns one nation in the sea in order to help the other to cross it, a sinner?

Can there be any religion more false than one that daubs all religions (other than itself), whose followers can be counted by millions, false and calls itself the only true one, since no religion can boast that all of its followers are good. It becomes only idiots to give an exparte decree. Has the religion founded on the Old Testament become false or was it some other faith, we should like to know what it was and by which name it is mentioned in the Quran.

“Thou shalt not see me. And when God manifested Himself to the mountain He turned it do dust! And Moses fell in a swoon.”

(Chapter 7: 139)

What is perceptible to the eye can never be All-per-vading. If He really worked such miracles (in the past) why does He not do so at the present time? Being altogether opposed (to reason) it is not worthy of being believed.

ALLAH SHOULD BE SPOKEN TO ALOUD
OR NOT

“And think within thine ownself of God, with lowliness and with fear and without loud spoken words, at even and at morn.”

(Chapter 7: 204)

At one place the Quran says that God should be spoken to aloud, while at another place it says that He should be addressed” without loud spoken words”. Now, which of the two shall we believe to be true and which false? Self-contradictory statements can only be made by one who is demented. Of course, it does not matter much if one contradicts himself through mistake and then owns it.

“They will question thee about THE SPOILS, say: The spoils are God’s and the apostles. Therefore, fear God.”

(Chapter 8: 1)

It is very strange that those who plunder others and live by dacoity and teach others to do the same should still profess to be God, prophet and the faithful. These people with one breath plunder others

and with the other talk of fearing God, and yet do not feel the least shame in declaring that their religion is the best. Can there be a man worse than one who through sheer obstinacy does not embrace the true Vedic religion?

41

ALLAH DESTITUTE OF COMPASSION

“Cut off the uppermost part of the infidels. “I will verily aid you with a thousand angels, rank on rank.” “I will cast a dread into the hearts of the infidels.” Strike off their heads then, and strike off from them every finger-tip.”

(Chapter 8:: 7, 9, 12)

How destitute of compassion are God and his Prophets who order that the heads of the infidels should be cut off? Is such a God, as commands the faithful to put the infidels to the sword, and sever their limbs (from their bodies) and aids them in this work, any better than Ravan, the cruel king of Ceylon? This command is the invention of the author of the Quran and is not from God, but if it is from Him, our earnest prayer is that such a God may remain at a respectable distance from us.

“God is with the faithful. O ye faithful! Obey God and His apostle, O true believers, deceive not God and His apostle, neither violate your faith against your own knowledge. God laid a plot against them, and God is the best layer of plots.”

(Chapter 8: 19, 23, 26, 29)

Does God favour the Mohammedans? If so, He is unjust because He is the Lord of all (and not of the Mohammedans only). Is your God deaf that He cannot hear you unless He is spoken n (aloud)? Is it not wrong to couple the name of the Prophet with His name? Where is God’s treasure that He should be so afraid of its being stolen? Is it right to steal (the wealth of) others barring that of God and His Prophet?

Only the ignorant and the wicked can teach such things. Why is not that God, who deceives others and associates with the deceitful, hypocritical, cunning and wicked? These things lead one to infer that the Quran is not the Word of God. Its author must have been a hypocritical and deceitful person. Otherwise, such objectionable things would not have been found in it.

“Therefore fight against them until there be no opposition in

favor of idolatry and the religion be wholly God's. and know that whenever ye gain any spoils, a fifth part, therefore, belongeth unto God, and to the apostle."

(Chapter 8: 40, 42)

Who but the Mohammedan God would be so unjust in fighting and helping others to do the same and so active in causing breaches of peace? Now, look at this religion, which sanctions wholesale robbery for the benefit of the Prophet! Are these people any better than thugs? God participates in the crime of robbery when He takes His share of the loot. He brings disgrace on Himself by favouring such dacoits (thieves). We are at a loss to understand whence came such a book, such a God and such a Prophet in order to disturb the happy relations between different nations of the world and thereby inflict great suffering on them. Has not such faiths flourished in the world, all would have lived in peace with each other.

"And if thou didst behold when the angels cause the unbelievers to die! They strike their faces and their backs, and unto say them, "Taste ye the pain of burning." Wherefore we destroyed them in their sins, and we drowned the people of Pharaoh. Therefore prepare against them what force ye are able."

(Chapter 8: 52, 56, 62)

Nowadays Russia has trampled Trudy and England has taken possession of Egypt, and yet God and His angels have done nothing to help them! Have they gone to sleep? In the past, God used to kill and drown the enemies of His votaries, but He does not help them now. This shows that He did nothing of the sort even in ancient times.

How evil is the command which says that the faithful should do, as much as, lies in their power, to inflict pain and suffering upon the non-Mohammedans! Leave alone God, even a learned, righteous and tender-hearted man would not give such an order, and yet they (the Mohammedans) have the impudence to say that their God is Merciful and Just. It is on this account that the Mohammedan God is destitute of justice and mercy and the like good attributes.

“O Prophet! God is thy support and of such of the true believers who followeth thee. O Prophet, lead the faithful to war; if twenty of you persevere with constancy, they shall overcome two hundred. Eat therefore of what ye have acquired, that which is lawful and good; and fear God: for God is Gracious and Merciful.”

(Chapter 8: 65, 66, 70)

Now, what kind of justice, wisdom or righteousness is it that one should support one's followers even though they perpetuate injustice? He, who causes a breach of peace and himself fights as well as helps others to do so, loots other people and yet calls the loot lawful, can never be Merciful and Compassionate.

Leave alone God, such a thing can never be true even of a good man. Such things make it impossible for us to believe that the Quran is the Word of God.

42

IS ALLAH ALL-PERVADING?

“They shall continue therein forever: for God is a great reward. O true believers, take not your fathers or your brethren for friends if they love infidelity above faith. Afterwards, God sent down his security upon his apostle and upon the faithful, and sent down troops of angels, which ye saw not; and he punished them who disbelieved; and this was the reward of the unbelievers. Nevertheless, God will hereafter be turned unto whom He pleaseth. Fight against them who believe not in God.”

(Chapter 9: 22, 23, 26, 27)

Now, how can God be All-pervading if He lives near those who are in paradise? But if He is not All-pervading, He can neither be the Creator nor the Judge of the world. It is wrong to advise men to forsake their parents. Of course, one should not obey if one advises doing wrong. But all the same, one should always serve them.

If God was kind to the Mohammedans and sent down troops of angels to help them in the past, why does He not do so now? If He punished the unbelievers and “turned unto whom He pleased,” why does He not do the same at the present time? Could not God advance His faith without commanding His votaries to fight? We say goodbye to such a God! He is more of a showman than a God.

“We await for you the infliction of a chastisement by God, from Himself, or at our hands.”

(Chapter 8: 53)

Do the Mohammedans constitute God’s police that He seizes non-Muslims either with His own hands or has been seized by the hands of the Mohammedans?

Are millions of other people displeasing unto God, and are Mohammedans, though they might be sinners, pleasing unto Him? If such is the case, the Mohammedan God resembles a veritable tyrant. It is strange that even sensible Mohammedans should believe in a religion which has no foundation to rest upon and is opposed to reason.

43

PROPHET HOLDING OUT BAIT'S FOR THE
IGNORANT

“To the faithful, both men and women, God promiseth gardens, beneath which the rivers flow, in which they shall abide, and goodly mansions in the gardens of Eden. But best of all will be God’s good pleasure in them. This will be the great bliss. Those who scoff at them, will be scoffed at by God.”

(Chapter 8: 75, 80)

Here Mohammad holds out a bait to men and women, in the name of God, to compass his own selfish ends. Had not Mohammad held out such a bait, nobody would have suffered himself to be entrapped by him. So do believers in other creeds also talk. Men scoff at one another but does not behove God to

scoff at anyone. This Quran is a mass of veritable funny tales.

“But the apostle and those who share his faith, contend for the faith with purse and person: and these! All good things await them. And God hath set a seal upon their hearts; they have no knowledge.”

(Chapter 8: 89, 94)

Mark this selfishness! Only those alone are good who believed in Mohammad, and those who did not believe in him are condemnable. Does not this argue bigotry and ignorance out and out? When God Himself hath set a seal on their hearts, they are not to blame for sinning. On the contrary, all the blame rests with God, for He sealed all their noble impulses by setting a seal upon their hearts. This verily the height of injustice!

44

A TERRIBLE BLOT ON GODHEAD?

“Take alms of their substance, that thou mayest clean and purify them thereby, and pray for them: for thy prayers shall assure their minds. Verily, of the faithful hath God bought

their persons and their substance on condition of Paradise for them, in return on the path of God shall they fight, and slay and be slain.”

(Chapter 10: 106, 113)

This is really fine! Mohammad here figures out as the very prototype of a Popish priest who cleanses or grants absolution only to those who grease his palm. This Muslim God is a wonderful trader, who thinks He drives a roaring trade by taking the lives of the poor and helpless through the Mohammedans! By condemning the orphans to destruction and awarding paradise to the oppressors, the Mohammedan God becomes chargeable with cruelty and injustice and this is a blot on His Godhead. He has rightly come to be looked down upon with contempt by the wise and the noble-minded.

“Believers! Wage war against such of the infidels as are your neighbors, and let them find you rigorous. Do they not see that they are afflicted with suffering every year once or twice? Yet they turn not, neither are they warned.”

(Chapter 10: 124, 127)

Mark the teaching, which, in defiance of all noble

instinct, advocates the destruction of one's very friends! God here teaches the Mohammedans to fight with their neighbours and slaves, to wage war against them and to slaughter them whenever they get a chance to the Mohammedans have materially helped in spreading such ideas, taking their inspiration from this very book – the Quran. They should, in the present enlightened age, realize the evil nature of such a teaching and give it up. They would be gainers by doing so.

45

ALLAH IGNORANT OF PHYSICS?

“Verily, your Lord is God Who hath made the Heavens and the Earth in six days – then mounted His throne to rule all things.”

(Chapter 15: 3)

Space is not the result of the combination or a compound substratum. It is uncreated, eternal. The assertion, that it was created, furnishes a positive proof of the fact that the author of the Quran was ignorant of physics. Had God to spend full six days on the creation of the world? When it is written in the

Quran “Be’ and “it was,” it follows that this assertion about the creation of the world in six days is baseless. Were the Muslim God All-pervading, why would He establish Himself in the heavens or the sky? And if God has to think about His administration, He is nothing but a prototype of a human being. Were He Omniscient, why would He cogitate seated passively?

This shows that the Quran is the production of minds steeped in savagery and destitute of all knowledge of God.

“And guidance and a mercy to the faithful.”

(Chapter 10: 55)

Is God the monopoly of the Muslims only, and have others no claim on Him? And is He partial, that He reserves His mercy only for the Muslims, and denies it to others. If by Muslims He meant “the faithful” they do not stand in need of guidance. If God does not furnish guidance to people other than the Mohammedans, His knowledge is of no use.

*“That he might make proof which of you will excel in work –
And if thou say “After death ye shall surely be raised again.”*

The infidels will certainly exclaim, "This is nothing but pure sorcery."

(Chapter 11: 8, 10)

When God has to examine works, He is not Omniscient. And if He does raise people after death, are we to think that those who are raised are condemned for an indefinite period to wait for a settlement of their date? Again, is it not opposed to His own Divine law to raise the dead? Is it possible that God should compromise His Godhead by infringing His own law?

"And it was said, "O Earth! Swallow up thy water" and "Cease, O Heaven." And the water abated. O my people! this is the she-camel of God and a sign unto you; let her go at large, and feed on God's Earth."

(Chapter 11: 43, 66)

What childish talk is this? Can the earth or the heavens ever hear? And if God possesses a she-camel, He must also possess a he-camel further He must own elephants, horses, donkeys, etc.! and does it reflect any credit on God to get His she-camel to feed in the field of the others? Does God ever ride

the she-camel? If such is the Muslim God, His house must be distinguished for all the pomp and splendour to be found in the house of a mundane potentate.

“Therein shall they abide while the heavens and the earth shall last. And as for the blessed ones – their place the Garden! Therein shall they abide while the heavens and the earth endure.”

(Chapter 11: 109, 110)

If, after the Day of Judgment, all people must repair either to heaven or hell, why should the earth or the sky then continue to exist? And if heaven and hell or to endure as long as the earth and the sky endure, then it follows that the assertion “that they shall abide in heaven or hell forever” is baseless. It is ignorant who talks in this vein, and not the wise, or God.

“When Joseph said to his father, “O my father! Verily I beheld eleven stars and the sun and the moon.”

(Chapter 12: 4)

This verse contains a dialogue between a father and his son, which shows that the Quran is not from

God but is the production of some man who has embodied in it the biographies of human beings.

“It is God who hath reared the heavens without pillars thou canst behold: then mounted His throne and imposed laws on the sun and the moon. And He it is who hath outstretched the earth. He sendeth down the rain from heaven; then flow the torrents in their due measure.”

(Chapter 13: 15)

“God is open-handed with supplies to whom He will, or is sparing.”

(Chapter 13: 2, 3, 15, 22)

The Muslim God is entirely innocent of all knowledge of Physical Science. Were He conversant with Physical Science, He would not have talked of rearing heavens on pillars. If God dwells in a particular locality or in the heavens, He cannot be Almighty or all-Encompassing. Had the Muslim God known aught of the Science of the clouds, He would have coupled the words, “He made the water go up to the sky,” with the words, “he sendeth down the rain from the heaven.”

This shows that the author of the Quran was ignorant of the science of clouds. If God confers happiness on some or condemns others to misery without paying any regard to their merits or demerits, He is partial, unjust and utterly ignorant.

46

ALLAH BEGUILLES PEOPLE?

“God truly will mislead whom He will; and He will guide to Himself him who turneth to Him.”

(Chapter 13: 23)

When God beguiles people, what is there to distinguish Him from Satan?

If Satan is condemned for beguiling people, why should not God be regarded as a greater Satan for doing the same thing, and why should He not be condemned to a residence in hell for the sin of beguiling others?

“Thus then, as a code in the Arabic tongue, have we sent down the Quran; and truly, if after the knowledge that hath reached thee thou follow their desires, thou shalt have no guardian nor

protector against God.”

(Chapter 13: 38)

How did God send down the Quran? Does God live high up in the skies? If this be true, He cannot be God, being confined to a particular locality, for God is All-pervading. To carry or deliver messages is the function of a courier, and since God is confined to a particular locality, he must needs have one. And to keep an account (in dealings with others) becomes a man but no God who is Omniscient. It follows from such statements of the Quran that it is the work of a human being, possessed of limited intelligence.

“And he ordained that the sun and moon shall always wander. Verily, man is an oppressor, and a heretic.”

(Chapter 14: 27)

Do the sun and moon only keep always wandering? Does not the earth wander too? If the earth did not revolve, each day and night would extend over many years. If man is disposed by nature to be cruel, and a heretic, it becomes useless to send him a warning through the Quran. For, those, who are inherently disposed to do evil, will never be disposed to do

good. As a matter of fact, there are both good and wicked men in this world. Hence it is evident from all this that no book which contains such preposterous teaching can be from God.

“And when I shall have fashioned him and breathed of my spirit into him; then fall ye down and worship him.” “O my Lord; because, thou hast beguiled me, I will surely make all fair seeming to them on the earth; I will surely beguile them all.”

(Chapter 15: 29, 39)

If God breathed His spirit into Adam, then Adam also became God. If Adam was not God, then why did God let him share the homage due to Him only? When God is the beguiler of Satan, why should not He, being His preceptor, be regarded as a greater Devil than Satan, for, while the Muslims look upon Satan as the beguiler it is really God who beguiles Satan. Again when Satan said in the presence of God, “I shall beguile then all,” why did not God inflict upon him punishment and throw him into a dungeon, yea, why did not He kill him?

WHY ARE THERE INFIDELS WHEN HE
SENT APOSTLES TO ALL PEOPLE?

“And to every people have we sent an apostle. Our word to a thing when we will it is but to say “BE” and it is.”

(Chapter 16: 38, 42)

If God sent apostles to every people, then why should those who follow these apostles be set down as infidels? Is not the prophet to be honoured other than your own? This is sheer bigotry. If God sent apostles to every country, why did not He send one to Aryavarta (India)? Hence this assertion of the Quran does not deserve to be given credence to.

When God makes up His mind and says, “Earth be,” how can the inanimate earth hear His command? And how can the mere command of God create the universe? And when the Mohammedans do not believe in the existence of anything other than God before creation, what was it that heard God’s order, and what did the same become? Those who are destitute of all knowledge of science talk in this fashion, and it is only the ignorant that believe in such things.

“And they ascribe daughters unto God! Glory be to Him! By God we have sent apostles to nations before this.”

(Chapter 16: 59, 65)

What will God do with daughters, because daughters are needed only for men? And why are not sons appointed unto God? Why are daughters only appointed unto him? Swearing is resorted to by liars, hence God should not swear. For, we frequently see in the world as a rule that it is liars that swear. Why should they, who only speak the truth, swear?

48

WHAT AN INJUSTICE OF ALLAH!

“These are they whose hearts and ears and eyes God hath sealed up; these the careless ones. And every soul shall be repaid according to its deeds, and they shall not wronged.”

(Chapter 16: 110, 112)

When God Himself had sealed up their hearts, etc., the unfortunate ones are condemned to destruction without any fault on their part. They are denied the privilege of being free-agents. How unjust it is! And yet we are told by the Quran that everyone shall be

repaid according to his deeds and shall have neither more or less than what he is entitled to.

When the sinners were not free-agents in committing sin but did it yielding to a stern necessity, having been compelled to do so by God, why are they to blame? They should not suffer for their deeds, on the contrary, it is God who ought to suffer punishment. And if everyone is repaid according to the full measure of his deeds, wherein does God's forgiveness consist? And if God does forgive sins, He destroys justice. God never act in this reckless fashion; only an erring mortal can act like this.

“And we have appointed hell the portion of infidels. And every man's fate have we fastened about his neck. And on the day of resurrection will we bring forth to him a book which shall be proffered to him wide open. And since Noah, how many nations have we exterminated.”

(Chapter 17: 8, 14, 18)

If those who do not believe in the Quran, the Prophet and the God of whom the Quran speaks, and have no faith in the seven heavens or in the Muslim prayer, etc., are infidels, and if it is they who are destined for hell, we can then say without the least

hesitation that all this is nothing but sheer partiality. Is it possible that those who believe in the Quran are all good, rest are wicked? It is childish to assert that everyone has his fate fastened about his neck. As a matter of fact, we don't see it fastened around the neck of a single person. If by this is meant that men shall have the just reward of their deeds, it sounds preposterous to assert that their hearts, eyes, etc., have been sealed up. We are told that God will bring forth His book on the day of judgment; if so where is that book now? Does God make daily entries in His book after the fashion of the shopkeepers?

There is another thing which should be considered in this connection. If there is no previous birth, where did the deeds of men come from and how could the book of deeds be made out? If it has been prepared in the absence of deeds, God has wronged the parties concerned. Why did He bestow happiness upon some and subject others to misery in the absence of the good or bad works? If it is said that He did it, because it pleased Him to do so, in that case too He wronged them.

To add to or take away from the felicity or suffering of any person without any regard to his merits or

demerits is termed injustice. And will it be God who will read the book at the time specified or will He have a reader by? If God dooms to destruction souls that have been waiting for an indefinite period without any fault on their part, He is a tyrant. But he who is a tyrant, cannot be God.

49

THERE CAN BE NO DELAY IN THE
ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

“We gave to The mood the She-camel before their very eyes. And entice such of them as thou canst by thy voice. One day we will summon all men with their leaders: they whose book shall be given into their right hand.”

(Chapter 17: 61, 66, 73)

Verily, one of the most convincing proofs which God can advance in favour of His God-head is the possession of the she-camel! If God ordered Satan to beguile human beings then He Himself is a greater Satan. But to call one, who leads people to commit sin, God is a most foolish thing. If God will call the Prophet and all his followers on the day of judgment in order to decide the fate of the dead, all of them

will have to remain in custody until that period. But custody without any trial is extremely irksome and it is, therefore, the duty of every good judge to decide cases promptly. It is like the justice administered by Popan Bai. What will you think of a judge who would say that he would not administer justice till all the criminals and the law-abiding citizens of fifty years back had been gathered together in one place? What sort of justice will this be? He will keep one man in custody for this cannot be called justice.

If you want to see what justice is, read the Vedas and Manusmriti, which declare that there is not a moment's delay in the administration of justice and that all souls are judged according to their deeds. Again, to hold that God summons the prophets as witnesses is to question His Omniscience. Can such a book ever be the Word of God and can its author ever be an Almighty God?

50

REBIRTH OF SOULS CONSTITUTES TRUE
DOCTRINE

“For them/! The gardens of Eden, under whose shades shall

rivers flow: decked shall they be therein with bracelets of gold, and green robes of silk and rich brocade shall they wear, reclining them therein on thrones.

Blissful the reward! And a pleasant couch!”

(Chapter 18: 30)

Indeed! What a fine place is paradise described in the Quran! It has gardens, ornaments, clothes, cushions, pillows for affording pleasure to those who live therein. A wise man will, on reflection, find that the Mohammedan paradise excels in nothing except injustice which lies in the fact that the soul will have infinite enjoyment or infinite suffering for actions which are finite. Besides, infinite happiness will appear to them infinite misery, even as if a person goes on eating sweet things for a long time, they begin to taste like poison to him. Therefore, the belief that the soul is reborn after having enjoyed the bliss of salvation till the Grand Dissolution (of the universe) alone constitutes the true doctrine.

“And these cities did we destroy when they became impious; and of their coming destruction we gave them warning.”

(Chapter 18: 58)

Can all the inhabitants of a place be ever sinful? The fact that God formed His resolution (of destroying those cities) afterwards proves that He is not All-knowing, for, He did so after He had seen injustice being perpetrated. He had no knowledge of it before. (His destroying so many cities) also proves that he is destitute of all Mercy.

51

BELIEVERS OF THE Q'URAN MUST BE
ILLITERATE

“As to the youth his parents were believers, and we feared lest he should trouble them by error and infidelity. Until when he reached the setting of the sun, he found it to set in a mire fount; and hardly he found a people. They said, “O Dhoulkarnain!” Verily, Gog, and Magog waste their land, shall we then pay thee tribute, so thou build a rampart between us and them.”

(Chapter 18: 79, 84, 93)

How unwise of God! He feared lest the parents of the boy might be led to rebel against His authority. This cannot be compatible with the nature of God. Again mark the ignorance of the author of the Quran! He thinks that the sun sinks into a lake at night, and

comes out of it again at day-break. As a matter of fact, the sun is very much larger than the earth. How can be then set it in a river or lake or an ocean?

This proves that the author of the Quran was ignorant of Physical Geography and Astronomy. Had he known these Sciences, why would he have written such things as are oppose to the dictum of knowledge? The believers in this book are also illiterate; otherwise they would not have believed in a book which is do full of error. Now, mark God's injustice? Though He is Himself the Creator of this earth and is its King and Judge, yet He allows Gog and Mogog wage constant war with exh other. This is also incompatible with the nature of God. Such a book can only be believed in by savages and not by enlightened men.

“And remember in the book of the Quran the story of Mary: when she retired from her family to a place towards the east, and took veil to conceal herself form them: and we sent our spirit Gabriel unto her, and he appeared unto her in the space of a perfect man. She said, fly for refuge unto the Merciful God, that He may defend me from thee, if thou fearest Him, then do not approach me. He answered, verily I am the messenger of thy

Lord, and am sent to give thee a holy son. She said, how shall I have a son, seeing a man hath not touched me and I am no harlot. Gabriel replied, so shall it be: thy Lord saith, this is easy with me; and we will perform it, that we may ordain him for a sign unto men, and a mercy from us: for it is a thing which is decreed. Wherefore she conceived him and she retired aside with him in her womb to a distant place.”

(Chapter 19: 12-16, 18)

It is a thing for the wise to consider that if all the angels are the spirit of God, they could not exist as separate entities from Him. Moreover, Mary while she was a Virgin, gave birth to a son, although she did not like to cohabit with any man, yet contrary to her wishes she was conceived by the angel at the Lord's command. Now, how wrong it was of God to have done so! There are many other objectionable things recorded in the Quran which we do not think advisable to mention here.

52

DID ALLAH, HIMSELF SEND THE DEVIL
TO INCITE THE INNOCENT

“Dost thou not see that we send devils against the infidels to

incite them to sin.”

(Chapter 19: 78)

As God Himself sends devils to incite the infidels, the devils are innocent, neither the devils nor the people tempted by them could, therefore, be liable to punishment. Since all sins are committed at the bidding of the Lord, He alone should suffer the consequences thereof. If He is really the dispenser of justice, He Himself should suffer in hell the consequences of His evil deeds. But if He sets justice at naught, He becomes a sinner, since it is a sin to perpetrate injustice.

“It will be gracious unto him, who shall repent and believe and shall do that which is right and who shall be rightly directed.”

(Chapter 20: 76)

It is written in the Quran that one's sins are forgiven by mere repentance. This encourages sin, since there is nothing to deter men from its commission. This book and its author, therefore, place a premium upon sin.

Hence it is clear that this book cannot be the Word of God, nor can the Being described therein be

Divine.

“And we placed stable mountains on the earth, lest it should move with them.”

(Chapter 21: 31)

If the author of the Quran had been acquainted with the phenomenon of the revolution of the earth, he would never have taught that the earth was immovable, because mountains were fixed in it. It could be argued that if there were no mountains, it would be shaken. Why does it quake when there is a seismic disturbance?

“And remember her who preserved her virginity, and into whom we breathed our spirit.”

(Chapter 21: 88)

It is impossible that such obscene statements should have been recorded in Divine revelation or even in a book written by a decent man. When even human beings do not relish such writings, how can God do so? It is such statements as bring the Quran into disrepute. If its teachings had been good, it would have commanded admiration like the Vedas.

CAN THE INANIMATE ADORE GOD

“Seest thou not that all creatures both in the heavens and on the earth adore God? The sun, and the moon, and the stars, the mountains and the trees, and the beasts, and many men? They shall be adorned therein with bracelets of gold, and pearls, and their vestures therein shall be silk. And cleanse my House for those who compass it and who stand up.” Afterwards let them put an end to the neglect of other persons; and let them pay their vows, and compass the ancient House. And may commemorate the name of God.”

(Chapter 22: 18, 23, 28, 30, 32)

When it is clear that inanimate objects cannot even know God, how can they then worship Him? This book cannot be of Divine origin. It seems to have been written by some ignorant man. How funny! How beautiful is the paradise where the inmates get gold ornaments studded with pearls and silken garments. This sort of paradise can in no way be better than the palaces of Kings; and if heaven is the house of God, he must be living in that very house, how can it then be said that the Quran does not teach idol-worship? (The Mohammedan) God is like gods and goddesses

of idol-worshippers, because He accepts presents, commands people to circumambulate His house and to offer animal sacrifices; He is the originator of idol-worship in its most objectionable form because the Mosque is a huge idol than the images of the gods. The Mohammedan God and His followers are, therefore, worse idol-worshippers than the Pauranics and the Jainees.

54

THIS MODE OF METING OUT JUSTICE IS
AS BAD AS DOING INJUSTICE

“Then shall ye be waked up on the day of Resurrection.”

(Chapter 23: 16)

Will the dead dwell in the tombs or in some other place till doom's Day? If they will dwell in the tombs, even the virtuous souls will suffer pain on account of their bodily tenements being foul-smelling and decomposed. This mode of meting out justice is as bad as doing injustice. Moreover, the Mohammedan God and His followers are guilty of the sin of spreading disease.

“One day their own tongues shall bear witness against them the their hands, and their feet, concerning that which they have done. God is the Light of the Heaven and Earth: the similitude of his light is as niche in a wall, wherein a lamp is placed, and the lamp enclosed in a case of glass; the glass appears as it were a shining star. It is lighted with the oil of a blessed tree, an olive neither of the east nor of the west, it wanteth little but that the oil thereof would give light, although no fire touched it. This is light added unto light. God will direct unto His light whom he pleaseth.”

(Chapter 24: 24, 35)

The hands and feet being inanimate cannot give evidence. The second statement being opposed to Laws of Nature is false. Is God fire or electricity? The illustration given in the Quran cannot apply to God. Such illustrations can only apply to embodied objects.

“And God hath created every animal of water. Some go on upon the belly. And whoso shall obey God and His Apostle.... Say: Obey God and obey the Apostle. And obey the Apostle that happily ye may find mercy.”

(Chapter 24: 45, 51, 53, 55)

What kind of philosophy is this that the creatures in the composition of whose body all elements have entered are said to have generated from water alone. This is a foolish statement. If it is necessary that the commands of the Prophet should be obeyed along with those of God, He may be said to have a partner. If it is so, why is it written in the Quran that God is without a second, and why do you preach this?

55

THE QURAN DISTURBS THE PEACE OF THE WORLD AND FOSTERS DISCORD

“On that day the heaven shall be cloven asunder by the clouds and the angels shall be sent down, descending visibly therein. Wherefore do not thou obey the unbelievers; but oppose them here with a strong opposition. Unto them will God change their former evils into good; and whoever repenteth, and doth that which is right; verily he turneth unto God with an acceptable conversion.”

(Chapter 25: 25, 50, 68, 69)

It can never be true that the sky has been split up by the clouds. It could be split up only if it had material existence. The teaching of the Quran disturbs the

peace of the world and fosters discord. It is for this reason that righteous and learned men do not believe in it. It is unjust that sins and virtues should be balanced against one another. Sin and virtue cannot be compared to oil seeds and lentils. If repentance absolves one from sin and brings him nearer to God, nobody would be afraid of committing sins. All this is opposed to science.

56

OTHER BOOKS SENT DOWN BY ALLAH
BEFORE THE Q'URAN

“And we spake by revelation unto Moses, saying, “March forth with my servants by night; for ye will be pursued.” And Pharaoh sent an officer through the cities to assemble forces. Who has created me and directeth me; and who giveth me to eat and to drink. And who, I hope, will forgive my sins on the day of Judgment.”

(Chapter 25: 50, 51, 76, 77, 80)

When God first sent down His book to Moses what need was there for sending down books to David, Christ and Mohammad? God's knowledge must be Unchangeable and Infallible but sending down later

books would show that the knowledge contained in the first book was fallible and imperfect. If these first three works contained true knowledge, the Quran must be false.

It is impossible for all the four books to teach true knowledge because their teachings contradict each other. If God has created souls, they must need cease to exist some day or the other. If it is God Who gives sustenance to man and other creatures, why do people suffer from disease and why does not each man get an equal amount of food? Why do some people, such as kings, get sumptuous food and others like the paupers get poor food?

If it is God Who feeds people and makes them observe the laws of health, there should be no disease. But we see that even the Mohammedans do suffer from the disease. If it is God who cures people of disease the Mohammedans should always recover, but as they do not, it is clear that the Mohammedan God is not a skilful doctor, for if He were so, the Mohammedans would always come round. Again, if it is God Who kills people and brings them to life, then He alone should take the consequences. On the contrary, if it is believed that punishment or reward

is awarded according to deeds done (by the soul) in many births, He cannot be held blameworthy. If God forgives sins and dispenses justice on the last day, He will be a sinner and a promoter of sin. If he does not forgive sins, it cannot but he said that this teaching of the Quran is false.

“Thou art only a man like unto us: produce now some sign, if thou speakest the truth. Said, the she-camel shall be a sign unto you: she shall have her portion of water.”

(Chapter 26: 154)

Who would believe that the camel came out of stone? Those who pinned faith to it were savages. The sign of the camel was something which looks boorish, not Divine. If this book had been revealed, it would not contain such senseless things.

“O Moses! Verily I am God, Mighty, the Wise: cast down thy rod. And when he saw it, that it moved, as though it had been a serpent, he retreated and fled. And God said O Moses, fear not, for my messengers are not disturbed with fear in my sight.”

“Rise not up against me: and surrender yourself unto me.”

(Chapter 27: 9, 10, 27, 32)

Now, mark! God calls Himself Might, even a good man would not indulge in self-commendation, why should God do so? He became the Lord of the savages by tempting them with a sort of jugglery. Such things cannot be written in the Word of God. If He is the Lord of the seventh heaven, He cannot be God being localized.

If it is a bad thing to rebel, why did God and Mohammad write a book to extol themselves, even though the Prophet at the instigation of God killed many which was worse than rebellion. The

Quran is full of repetitions and self-contradictory statements.

“And thou shalt see the mountains, and shalt think them firmly fixed; they shall pass away, even as the clouds pass away. This will be the work of God, who rightly disposes all things: and he is well acquainted with that which ye do.”

(Chapter 27: 90)

Perhaps in the country where the author of the Quran lived, the mountains moved on like clouds, for nowhere else it is so. The vigilance of God can be judged by the fact that He could not catch the rebel

Satan and punish him. Who can be more careless than one who could not apprehend one rebel and punish him.

57

DOES GOD CREATE BY THE CAPRICE OF
HIS WILL?

“And Moses struck him with his fist and slew him: and he said, O Lord, verily I have injured my own soul, wherefore forgive me. So God forgave him; for He is ready to forgive, and Merciful. The Lord createth what He pleaseth; and chooseth freely.”

(Chapter 28: 14, 15, 66)

Now, mark again! Are not God and Moses the Prophet of the Mohammedans and the Christians, both unjust because the latter killed people and God forgave him his sins. Does God create by the caprice of His Will? Was it due to mere caprice that one was born a king and another a pauper; one a scholar, and another a fool. If it is so, the Quran does not inculcate truth, and the Mohammedan God being unjust is no God at all.

“We have commanded man to show kindness towards his parents: but if they endeavor to prevail with thee to associate with me that concerning which thou hast no knowledge, obey them not. We hereafter sent Noah unto his people, and he tarried among them not. We hereafter sent Noah unto his people, and he tarried among them one thousand years, save fifty years.”

(Chapter 29: 7, 13)

Of course, it is good thing to serve one's parents and it is also right not to obey them when they ask one to believe that God shares His homage with some other being. But they should not be believed if they command one to tell lies, hence this injunction is only a half truth. If He sent only Noah and other prophets to the world who sent the other creatures? If it be said that he sent all, then why not believe that all are prophets. If formerly people lived for 950 years, why do they not attain that age now? This statement is also wrong.

“God produceth creatures, and will hereafter restore them to life: then shall ye return unto Him. And on the day whereon the hour shall come, the wicked shall be struck dumb for despair. And they who shall have believed, and wrought righteousness,

shall take their pleasure in a delightful meadow: Yet if we should send a blasting wind, and they should see their corn yellow and burnt up. This hath God sealed up the hearts of those who believe not.”

(Chapter 30: 10, 11, 14, 50, 58)

If God ordains Creation twice and not thrice, He must be sitting idle before the first Creation and after the second, and will lose all vitality after creating the world twice. If the sinners are struck dumb with despair on the day of judgment, so much so good, but we hope that this verse does not mean that all except the Mohammedans will be branded as sinners and struck dumb with despair, for at many places in the Quran by the word of sinner is meant a non-Mohammedan.

If the Mohammedan paradise consists of residence in a garden and adornment of the body, it is just like this world. In that case it is necessary that gardeners and goldsmiths should be there or God should do their work. Again, if some denizen of paradise gets a smaller number of ornaments, he might commit theft and be hurled down into hell. If it be so the doctrine of eternal heaven would be falsified.

If God superintends agriculture operations, He must needs have gained some experience in the agricultural art! Even if it be believed that God being Omniscient knew all this, He cannot escape from the charge of having bullied and blustered. If God sealed the hearts of men and caused them to commit sin, He must be held answerable for the offence and not men; even as the Commanders are held responsible for defeat or victory, so God must be regarded answerable for sins.

“These are the signs of the wise book. He hath created the heavens without visible pillars to sustain them, and hath thrown on the earth mountains firmly rooted, lest it should move with you. Dost thou not see that the God causeth the night to succeed the day, and causeth the day to succeed the night? Dost thou not see that the ships run in the sea, through the favor of God, that He may show you of his signs?”

(Chapter 31: 1, 9, 28, 30)

How funny! That a book like this should be regarded full of wisdom even though it teaches things opposed to science, such as the creation of the heavens without visible pillars to sustain them and the fixing of the mountains in the earth with a view to

keep them immovable. Even persons who are a little bit educated cannot write such nonsense or believe in such balderdash. Again, how wise is the statement that the day is entangle with the night and night with day! Everybody knows that day and night co-exist. The Quran cannot be a book of true knowledge, for this statement is absolutely foolish. It is not opposed to true knowledge to say that the ships run into the sea through the favor of God when in reality they are propelled by machinery and by sailors? Would not the sign of God (a ship) sink if it was made of iron or stone? Verily this book cannot have been written either by God or by a learned man.

58

IS ALLAH LIMITED BY SPACE LIKE MAN?

“He governeth all things from heaven even to the earth, hereafter they shall return unto Him, on the day whose length shall be a thousand years, of those which ye compute. This He who knoweth the future and the present; the Mighty, the Merciful. And then formed him into proper shape, and breathed of His Spirit into him; say; the angel of death, who is set over you, shall cause you to die...If we had pleased, we had certainly given unto every soul its direction: but the word which bath

proceeded from me must necessarily be fulfilled, when I said, verily I will fill hell with both genii and men."

(Chapter 32: 4, 5, 8.10, 12)

Now, it is quite clear that the God of the Moham-medans is limited by space like man, for if He were Omnipresent, it could not be said of Him that He is stationed at a particular place for the purpose of carrying on administrative work and that He descends and ascends.

He cannot but be regarded as limited by space if He sends down angels and Himself remains hung up in the sky, while His emissaries are sent about on errands. How could God know it, if His angels were bribed into perverting the facts of a case or sparing the life of a doomed person.

He could find out only if He were Omniscient and Omnipresent, but that He is not. If He had been so, where was the need of sending angels and testing people in any way? Again, He cannot be said to be Omnipotent, because it takes a thousand years to arrange for the return of His emissaries. If there is an angel of death, what is there that will bring about his death? If it be said that that angel is eternal, then

it will have to be believe that God is not Incomparable at least so far as eternity is concerned. One angel cannot ask many people to repair to hell simultaneously, and if God looks at the fun after filling hell with innocent people who have been doomed to torture, he is unrighteous, unjust and merciless.

A book teaching such things cannot be the work of God or of a learned man, while a being devoid of justice and mercy cannot be Divine.

“Say, light shall not profit you, if ye fly from death or from slaughter: O wives of the Prophet, whosoever of you shall commit a manifest wickedness, the punishment thereof shall be doubled unto her twofold, and this is easy with God.”

(Chapter 33: 16, 30)

Mohammad wrote or dictated this verse to keep people from running away from the field of battle so that victory might be assured to his army, his soldiers might not dread death, his wealth might increase and his religion might spread. If the wife of the prophet is not to appear in public shamelessly (without a veil), why should the prophet do so. It is just that the wife should suffer for this offence and the prophet escape scot free.

A FATHER-IN-LAW LUSTING AFTER HIS
DAUGHTER-IN-LAW

“And abide still in you houses; ... and obey God and his apostle: But when Zaid had determined the matter concerning her, we joined her in marriage unto thee; lest a crime should be charge on the true believers in marrying the wives of their adopted sons, when they have determined the matter concerning them: and the command of God is to be performed. No crime is to be charged on the prophet ad to what God hath allowed him. Mohammad is not the father of any man among you:.. and any other believing woman if she hath given herself unto the prophet; Thou mayest postpone the urn of such of they wives as thou shalt please in being called to thy bed, and thou mayest take unot thee her whom thou shalt please and her whom thou shalt desire: and it shall be no crime in thee. O true believers! Enter not the houses of the prophet.”

(Chapter 33: 32, 37, 38, 40, 49, 51, 53)

It is extremely unjust that the women should be immured within the four walls of the house like prisoners and men permitted to roam about freely. Do not women feel a desire to breathe fresh air, to walk about in open space, and to view the phenomena

of nature. This invidious distinction accounts for the fact that Mohammedan youths are peculiarly vagrant and licentious. Do God and His prophet issue one and the same command or do they promulgate different and contradictory injunctions? If the former is the case, it is useless to say that the command of both should be obeyed, but if the latter is the case, then one injunction should be true, and the other false. Thus, one of these beings would be like God and the other like the Devil and the latter will share homage with the former.

What to say about the God of the Quran and the prophet who wrote a book permitting the attainment of selfish ends at the sacrifice of the good of others! It is also clear that Mohammad was lascivious, for if he had not been so, he would not have taken his daughter-in-law as wife.

Again, God also proved Himself to be biased by ruling an unjust act to be just. Even a savage considers his daughter-in-law, sacred. How unjust it is that the prophet did not feel the least compunction in obeying a wild and sinful impulse. If the prophet was father to no body, who was then the father of Zaid (the slave). One might ask that if the prophet could not

keep himself from a connection even with his own daughter-in-law, how could he restrain his passions where other women were concerned?

Even sophistical reasoning cannot mitigate the enormity of an offence like this. Would it have been right if some other married woman had of her own free will desired to lead the prophet to the hymeneal altar? How cruel that the prophet was at liberty to divorce a wife whenever he chose to do so, while his wife was deprived of the right of obtaining a divorce even if he was guilty of misconduct! If it was necessary that nobody should enter the house of the prophet with adulterous intent, it was likewise necessary that the latter should also not do an act like this.

How could it be right for the prophet to act as if he had a license in this matter and still to exact admiration? He would indeed be a perfect idiot who would believe the Quran to be revealed, Mohammad to be a prophet and Mohammedan God to be an Omnipotent Lord.

It is passing strange that the Arabs believed in such idiotic and unrighteous statements.

IS ALLAH SUBJECT TO PAIN?

“Neither is it fit for you to give any uneasiness to the Apostle of God nor to marry his wives, after him, forever: for this would be a grave offence in the sight of God. As to those who offend God and His Apostle, God shall curse them in this world. And they who shall injure the true believers of either sex, without their deserving it, shall surely bear the fruit of calumny and a manifest injustice. And being accused, wherever they are found they shall be taken, and killed with a general slaughter. O Lord give them the double of our punishment, and curse them with a heavy curse.”

(Chapter 33: 53, 57, 58, 62, 68)

Now, mark! Is the Mohammedan God asserting His Omnipotence in an equitable manner? If it was right to command people not to inflict pain on the prophet, it was also, proper to adjure the prophet not cause suffering to others. Why did he not do so? Is God subject to pain? If He is, then He cannot be God. Does not the injunction to abstain from causing suffering to God and His prophet imply that they both are free to inflict pain? If it is not a good thing to injure Mohammedans and their wives, it is equally

bad to torment others. If this view of the question be not accepted, then God and His prophet should be believed to be biased and anxious to sow discord.

There are very few people in the world who are so merciless as these two. Would not the Mohammedans feel aggrieved if somebody ordained that they should be pinioned and killed, as, the Quran has done in the case of non-Muslims. The prophet was very hard-hearted, for he prayed to God that Non-Muslims should receive double the punishment awarded to Mohammedans. This statement also is selfish and unrighteous. Perhaps those are scapegraces among the Mohammedans who do things like that. Truly has it been said that an untutored man is like unto a beast.

“It is God who sendeth the winds, and raiseth a cloud; and we drive the same unto a country, dead (from drought) and thereby quicken the earth after it hath been dead; so shall the resurrection be. Who hath caused us to take up our rest in a dwelling of eternal stability, through His Bounty, wherein, no labor shall touch us, nor shall any weariness affect us.”

(Chapter 35: 9, 35)

What a strange philosophy does God preach? He sends the winds and raiseth a cloud, and by means

of these brings the dead into life. This cannot be predicated of the true God, for He always acts in a consistent manner. A house cannot stand unless it has been made, and whatever had been made cannot last forever. Whoever has got a body would feel miserable if he had nothing to do and is subject to disease. If this is true in the case of a person holding sexual intercourse with one man, how much more so it in the case of him who cohabits with many wives. His lot would indeed be pitiable. Hence Mohammedans cannot enjoy genuine happiness evening paradise.

61

IF THE QURAN WERE THE WORK OF
GOD, HOW COULD HE SWEAR BY IT?

“I swear by the instructive Quran, that thou art one of the messengers of God, sent to show the right way. This is revelation of the most Mighty, the Merciful God.”

(Chapter 34: 1- 4)

If the Quran were the work of God, how could He swear by it? If the prophet had been a messenger of God, he would not have fallen in love with his daughter-in-law. It is foolish to assert that the believers in

the Quran are on the straight path, for he alone is said to tread that path who believes in truth, utters truth, acts in conformity with truth and shapes his conduct in accordance with the dictates of Dharma (duty) which consists of justice and freedom from prejudice and forsakes all that is opposed to them. Neither the Quran nor the Mohammedans nor their God satisfies these conditions.

If Mohammad had been the greatest prophet, he would have been the most learned and the most virtuous among men. The praise of Mohammedanism by Mohammad is like the praise by a coast-monger of his own plums.

“And the trumpet shall be sounded again, and behold they shall come forth from their graves, and hasten unto their Lord. And their hands shall speak unto us, and their feet shall bear witness of that which they have committed. His command, when He willeth a thing, is only that he saith unto it “Be!” and it is.”

(Chapter 36: 50, 63, 80)

How absurd! Can the feet ever give evidence? When there was none else except God, to whom did he issue the command and who heard it and what came into

existence? If there was nothing else at that time, this statement is false and if there was something else, then the statement that there was nothing else except God must be thought to be false.

62

DRINKING OF ALCOHOL FORBIDDEN
ON EARTH, BUT NOT IN PARADISE

“A cup shall be carried round unto them, filled from a unruffled fountain, for the delight of those who drink; and near them shall lie the virgins of paradise, refraining their looks from beholding any besides their spouses, having large black eyes, and resembling the egg of an ostrich covered with feathers from the dust. And Lot was also one those who were sent by us. We delivered him and his whole family, except an old woman, his wife, who perished among those that remained behind; afterwards we destroyed the others.”

(Chapter 37: 43, 44, 45, 48, 57, 131, 133, 134)

Well! The Mohammedans cry that it is a sin to drink wine on this earth but in their paradise streams of wine flow. It is good that Mohammedans have rendered some service to the cause of temperance here, but they have been more than compensated

for this abstinence in paradise. So many women have been allotted to each man there, he would find it difficult to fix his affections on one. The place must be afflicted with maladies. If the dwellers have got bodies, they must die and if they have got no bodies, they cannot gratify their lust.

What then is the use of paradise? If you believe that Lot was a prophet, do you also believe what is recorded in the Bible that he begot children of his own daughters. If you do, it is foolish to regard such a person a prophet. If your God grants salvation to such persons and their associates He must also be like them. A being who recites old wives' tales and kills other people through prejudice cannot be God, for such a God can only live in a Mohammedan house and nowhere else.

63

WHAT IS NOT ETERNAL, MUST PERISH

“Gardens of perpetual abode, the gates whereof shall stand open unto them. As they lie down therein, they shall there ask for many sorts of fruits, and for drink; and near them shall sit virgins of paradise, refraining their look from beholding any

besides their spouses, and of equal age with them.”

“And all the angels worshipped him, except Eblis, who was puffed up with pride and became an unbeliever. God said unto him, “O Eblis, what hindereth thee from worshipping that which I have created with my hands? Art thou elated with vain pride? Or art thou really one of the exalted ones?” He answered, “I am more excellent than he; thou hast created me of fire and hast created him of clay.” God said unto him, “Get thee hence therefore, for thou shalt be driven away from mercy: and my curse shall be upon thee, until the day judgment.” He replied, “O Lord, respite me therefore, until the day of resurrection, . God said, “Verily thou shalt be one of those who are respited until the day of the determined time.” Eblis said, “By the might do I swear I will surely seduce them all.”

(Chapter 38: 49, 50, 51, 70-78)

If there are gardens and orchards in paradise as stated in the Quran, they neither have existed from eternity nor can they remain there forever, for the things which result from the combination of elements, did not exist before that combination and will surely cease to exist after Dissolution. When these things will disappear from paradise, how can the dwellers live there forever?

The very fact that the Arabs have been promised cushions, cushioned seats, pillows, fruits and drinks, proves that Arabia was not in affluent circumstances at the time the Mohammedan religion was founded. It was for this reason that Mohammad entrapped the poor people into his net by holding out to them temptations.

Perpetual happiness cannot reign where women are to be found. Where did these women come from? Are the dwellers of paradise or have they been imported? If they have been imported, they will surely go back and if they permanently dwell there, what were they doing before the day of resurrection? Were they idling away their lives? Not look at the resplendence of God whom all the angels except Satan obeyed by paying homage to Adam; Satan alone held aloof. God said to Satan, "I have made him with both my hands, do thou, therefore, not be proud."

This shows that the God of the Quran was a two-handed person. He cannot, therefore, be Omnipresent and Omnipotent. Satan was right in saying that he was better than Adam. Why was the resentment of God excited at this? Is the house of God in the sky and not on earth? Why was 'Kaba' at first

called the house of God? How can God separate Himself from His Creation? All this creation belongs to the true God.

This shows that the God of the Quran was a landlord in paradise. God curse and rebuked Satan and sent him to jail.

Satan said, “O Lord! Release me till the day of judgment.” God being not insensible to flattery complied with his request.

When Satan was free, he said, “Now, I will tempt people and raise the standard of rebellion.” God retorted, “Whoever is tempted by you will be hurled into hell by me along with thee.” Gentle reader! Just consider whether God tempted him

Or he was tempted of his own accord. If God did it, He was greater Devil of the two, and if Satan was tempted on his own accord, other people can also be tempted likewise. Where then is the need of Satan? So far as God let the rebel Satan loose, He also was responsible for the mischief wrought by the fiend.

Who can be more unjust than the being who instigates theft and then sits in judgment over the thief?

IT IS UNJUST TO FORGIVE SINS

“God forgiveth all sins: for He is Gracious and Merciful. Since the whole earth shall be but His handful on the day of resurrection; and heavens shall be rolled together in His right hand. And the earth shall shine by the light of its Lord: and the books shall be laid open, and the Prophets and the martyrs shall be brought as witnesses; and judgment shall be given.”

(Chapter 39: 53, 67, 69)

If God forgives all sins, He leads the entire world to unrighteousness. He is also merciless, for if a wicked man is shown mercy and forgiven, he will commit more mischief and cause suffering to many a good man. Even if the slightest offence is left unpunished, the world will become full of sin. Is God Resplendent like fire? Where is the page of destiny and who writes it? If God dispenses justice with the aid of prophets and witnesses, he must be of limited knowledge and power. If he is not unjust and dispenses uniform justice, he must be dealing with all people according to their past deserts. Deeds must have been done either in the past birth or in the present one. It is, then, clearly unjust to forgive sins, to seal the hearts,

to keep people in ignorance to let the Devil tempt them, and subject them to torture.

“The Revelation (sending down of the Book) is from God, the Almighty, all-Knowing, forgiver of sin and receiver of penitence.”

(Chapter 40: 1, 2)

This has no other object than that the credulous and unwary should accept this book as one from God – a book – which along with few truths it contains, choked with untruths, which only tend to markedly detract from the value of the former. Hence the Quran and the God of the Quran, as well as those who are believers in this book, only lend their support to wickedness and iniquity, and not only commit sin themselves but lead others to do it. For it is most sinful to overlook or connive at iniquity. It is such Quranic teaching that emboldens the Mohammedans to commit sin and to delight in war and bloodshed.

65

IS THIS YOUR ALMIGHTY ALLAH?

136. *“And He made the seven heavens in two days, and in*

each heaven made known its office. Until when they reach it, their ears, their eyes and their skins shall bear witness against them of their deeds. And they shall say to their skins, "Why witness ye against us?" they shall say, "God Who giveth a voice to everything, hath given us a voice."

(Chapter 40: 11, 19, 20, 38)

Well done! Ye Mohammedans. Is this your Almighty God? It took Him two whole days to fashion the seven heavens. The real Almighty God can fashion the whole universe in the twinkling of an eye. When God has made the ears, the eyes and the skin lifeless and destitute of consciousness, how can they bear witness against anyone?

If you were to assert that He could endow these with consciousness (on the day of judgment), would not you be virtually asserting that He would infringe His own law?

What is utterly, incredibly baseless (in the foregoing quotation) is the assertion that when the organs and limbs bore witness against the souls, the latter began to ask their respective organs and limbs why they had borne witness against them. This is an astounding assertion like that of a man who would affirm that

he saw the face of the son of a woman affected to sterility. And if she is really barren, it is simply impossible for her to have an offspring.

If God brings the dead to life, why does He smite them first? Can he die Himself? If the answer be in the affirmative, why does He consider dying a bad thing? And in which Muslim's house shall the souls stay till the arrival of the day of judgment, and why has God postponed indefinitely the decision of their cases without any fault on their part? Why did not He pronounce His judgment in respect of each without delay? Things like these are a blot on Godhead.

66

CONDEMNING SOME WOMEN TO LIFE OF STERILITY

“His, the keys of the Heavens and of the Earth! He giveth with open hand or sparingly, to whom He will. He createth what He will, and He giveth daughters to whom He will, and sons to whom He will, Or He giveth them children of both sexes, and He maketh who He will childless. It is not for man that God should speak with Him, but by vision, or from behind a veil. Or, He sendeth a messenger to reveal what He

will."

(Chapter 40: 48- 51)

God must be possessed of an inexhaustible stock of keys considering that he must unlock each and all places. Again it argues childishness on His part to bless with plenty and super-abundance whosoever He pleases regardless of his merits, or to take away the same from any without weighing his demerits. If God is such a creature of mere whim, He is unjust. Mark the extraordinary cleverness of the author of the Quran!

It is expressly designed to captivate and entrap the females also. If the Mohammedan God can create whatever He pleases, can He then create another God? If He cannot, are we to understand that His Omnipotence here has come to a dead halt?

And if it is God that grants offspring unto men, who is it that grants the same unto fowls, fishes, pigs, etc., that have a more numerous progeny? And why cannot He grant offspring (to mortals) unless men and women cohabit?

Why does He condemn some women to a life of sterility and thereby afflict them? He must indeed be

a glorious God, considering that no one can talk in His presence! But has not He already declared in a certain place that He can be talked to from behind a curtain, and that the angels as well as the prophet can speak to Him?

If this assertion about the angels and the prophet be true, they must be taking good care to make God thoroughly play into their hands. Should you argue that God is All-knowing and All-pervading (as He really is), this assertion about His talking from behind a curtain and obtaining news as if it were by post would be utterly meaningless.

If He stands in need of such agencies, He could not be God. He must be rather come shrewd piece of humanity. Hence the Quran can never be of Divine origin.

67

HE SENT JESUS WHOSE TEACHINGS
OPPOSE THE Q'URAN

“And when Jesus came with manifest proofs.”

(Chapter 442: 59)

If Jesus too was sent by God, why did He then send down the Quran whose teaching is opposed to that of Christ?

Again, since the Biblical teaching is opposed to that of the Quran, neither of these is a Divine revelation.

“Seize ye him and drag him into the midfire (where they shall stay)....and we will wed them to the virgins with large black eyes.”

(Chapter 44: 43, 50)

This is indeed strange that a Just God should have men caught and dragged! When such is the Muslim God, can there be any wonder if His Mohammedan worshippers pounce upon the weak and the helpless and upon orphans, and drag them?

Again, does He help in the celebration of marriages? If so, He is a match -maker to the Muslims, something resembling their own Qazis.

“When ye encounter the infidels, strike off their heads, till ye have made a great slaughter among them, and of the rest make fast the fetters.”

(Chapter 47: 4)

“And how many cities were mightier in strength than the city, which hath thrust thee forth! We destroyed them, and there was none to help them.”

(Chapter 47: 14)

“A picture of the paradise which is promised to the Godfearing! There in are rivers of water, which corrupt not, rivers of milk whose taste changeth not: and rivers of wine, delicious to those who quaff it.”

(Chapter 47: 16)

“And rivers of honey clarified: and therein are all kinds of fruit for them from their Lord.”

(Chapter 47: 17)

This shows that the Quran, its God, and the Mohammedans are guilty of having disturbed the peace of the world, inflicted great suffering on the human race and of being selfish and altogether destitute of fellow-feeling. Would not the Mohammedans also suffer, if the non-Mohammedans were to pay them in their own coin?

It was indeed very unjust of God to have destroyed cities that turned out Mohammad.

Can (the Mohammedan) paradise, in which rivers of pure water, milk and clarified honey flow, be any better than this mortal world. Rivers of milk, whose taste changeth not, cannot exist, because it turns sour in a short time. The enlightened people do not believe in the Mohammedan faith since it inculcates such incredible and inhuman teachings.

68

IS THE EARTH STATIONARY?

“When the earth shall be shaken with a shock, and the mountains shall crumble with a crumbling and shall become scattered dust.”

(Chapter 55: 4, 6)

“Then the people of the right hand, what shall be the people of the right hand! And the people of the left hand, what shall be the people of the left hand.”

(Chapter 56: 8, 9)

“On coaches in wrought with gold and studded with stars reclining on them face to face: aye -blooming youths go round about to them, with goblets and ewers and a cup of flowing

wine, their brows ache not from it nor fails the sense: and will such fruits as shall please them best, and with flesh of such birds, as they shall long for and theirs shall be the Houris, with large dark eyes, like pearls hidden in their shell.”

(Chapter 56: 15 -22)

“And on lofty beds. Of a rare creation have we created the Houris and we have ever made them virgins, dear to their spouses, of equal age with them.”

(Chapter 56: 31, 33)

“And fill your bellies with it. I swear by the falling stars.”

(Chapter 56: 54, 74)

Now, mark the strange assertions of the author of the Quran! As it is, the earth is always in motion and will therefore be moving also at the time referred to by Him. It proves, however, one thing, namely, that the author of the Quran believed the earth to stationary. Will He make the mountains fly as if they were so many birds?

Even if they are transformed into insects and moths, they shall retain minutest bodies. Why then deny that they may be born again?

Indeed! Were not the Muslim God corporeal, how could anyone stand on His right or left (as asserted in the Quran)?

Do the inmates of paradise always keep sitting idle, reclining on their pillows, or do they ever do anything? If they keep sitting idle, they could not properly digest their food, which must produce disease and thus carry them early to their graves. But if they do any work, they must be earning their livelihood in paradise after the fashion of mortals here. What is there then to distinguish paradise from this world? Of course nothing.

If those boys always live in paradise, their parents as well as their fathers – and mothers-in-law must also do the same. This means that it must be a big colony there wherein diverse kinds of disease are bound to prevail on account of the accumulation of the night soil and other kinds of filth.

If (as asserted) they eat fruits, drink water out of tumblers and quaff wine out of wine-cups, why would not they be subjected to head-aches, and indulge in unbecoming expressions? If it be a fact that they surfeit themselves there with fruits and with the flesh

of birds and beasts, they are sure to be afflicted with various kinds of disease and suffering.

There must also be slaughter-houses as well as butchers' shop in paradise and bones must be scattered here and there. Verily, it is hard to sufficiently praise the Muslim Paradise! It seems as if it is even superior to Arabia! Of course when they become inebriate by free indulgences in meat and wine in paradise, they must stand in need of beautiful girls and handsome youths, otherwise the potations might affect their brains, and thereby transform them into raving maniacs! It is right that there should be a sufficient number of beds to accommodate so many people in paradise.

Of course it stands to reason that there should be youths in paradise when God had created virgins there. But we are told that the virgins in paradise are destined to be united to those male mortals who repair to paradise from this world. What about those male youths then who perpetually swell in paradise? God has kept reticent as regards their marriage, will they also along with the virgins be surrendered to their candidate-mortals from this world?

God has thrown no light on this point, and it must be regarded as a great omission on His part. If women in paradise are united to men of the same age, it is not, since the male should always be twice as old as the female or even older. So much regarding the Moham-
medan paradise, as regards the Mohammedan hell, its inmates will have to feed on (thohar) *Euphorbia nereifolia* [This means that there are thorny trees in hell baring thorns], and drink hot water. Such then are the sufferings they will be afflicted with in hell.

69

ALLAH A SERVANT OF PROPHET?

“Why, O Prophet dost thou hold that to be forbidden, which God hath made lawful to thee, from a desire to please thy wives, since God is lenient, and merciful. Verily God is his protector. Happily if he put you both away, his Lord in exchange will give him wives better than you, Muslims, believers, devoutly penitent, obedient, observant of fasting, both known of men and virgins.”

(Chapter 50: 1, 5)

If we reflect a little on the above, we shall see that God is but a servant of Mohammad to manage his

affairs – internal as well as external! Two stories are told in connection with the first verse. One is that Mohammad was excessively fond honey and water.

He had many wives, and one day he was delayed while taking this drink in a house of one of them. The delay rather irritated his other wives. Mohammad then swore that he would never again drink honey and water. The second story is that one night Mohammad was to go to a particular wife of his. She was not there – in fact she was gone to her father’s house; Mohammad, therefore, sanctified a female slave of his! When the wife referred to came to know of this, she became angry with the prophet and made him swear that he would never do such a thing again, while he asked her to bind herself to observe the secrecy, she promised not to disclose the matter to anyone. But then he went to another wife of his and narrated the story to her. God then inspired Mohammad with this verse:-

“Why dost thou consider that to be forbidden which God hath made lawful?” etc. Let the wise declare if ever God acts as an arbitrator in the household affairs of any man. And as regards Mohammad’s character, sufficient light is thrown on it by the aforementioned

stories, for how can a man, who is the husband of many wives, be either a pious man or a prophet? Again, is he not partial, and therefore, sinful who, actuated by partiality, disgraces one wife and honors another. And how can he, who, not being content with many wives, co-habits with his slaves, be moral, God-fearing and pious? Someone has well said:-

“The debauchee is not afraid or ashamed (or his turpitude)!” Even the God of these people acted as judge deciding disputes between the prophet and his wives. Let the wise now say whether the Quran is written by God or is it the work of some ignorant, and selfish fellow. Again, from the second verse it appears that when a wife of Mohammad got angry with him, God threatened her by saying that if she continued recalcitrant and Mohammad divorced her on that account, He would give him better wives, who had not had any sexual connection with any man before. Any person, with a grain of sense in him, can understand whether these are the doings of God or of a being who is bent upon gaining his own selfish ends. These things clearly show that God said nothing of the sort, and that it was Mohammad, and not God who said anything suited to the occasion to serve his

selfish purpose and attributed all these things to God. Not only we but all thoughtful men will say that (the Mohammedan) God was a mere procurer of wives for Mohammad.

“O Prophet, make war on the infidels and hypocrites and deal rigorously with them.”

(Chapter 66: 9)

Again, mark the wonders wrought by the Mohammedan God! He incites the Prophet and the Mohammedans to fight with persons professing other religions. This is why Mohammedans are always engaged in war. May God have pity on the Muslims, so that they may give up fighting and live on friendly terms with all.

70

WHAT A ‘REMARKABLE’ SENSE OF
JUSTICE!

“And the heaven shall be rent asunder, for on that day it will be fragile.”

(Chapter 69: 16)

“And the angels shall be on its sides, and over them on that day eight shall bear up the throne of thy Lord.”

(Chapter 69: 17)

“And he who shall bear his book given to him in his right hand shall say to friends, “Take ye it; read ye my book.”

(Chapter 69: 19)

“But he who shall have his book given to him in his left hand will say, “O, that the book had never been given!”

(Chapter 69: 25)

What a deep philosophy, and what a remarkable sense of justice! Can the heaven be ever divided? It is like a piece of cloth that it can be rent? If you call the sky above heaven, it is against the dictum of science. There is no doubt now as to the God of the Quran being corporeal, since none who has not a body can sit on a throne and order it to be borne by eight men on their shoulders. Besides, front and behind can only be predicated of one that has a physical body.

When God is corporeal, He is necessarily limited to a certain place and, therefore, cannot be Omniscient, Omnipresent, Almighty, nor can He be cognizant of

the deeds of all souls. To place books (of their deeds) in the right hand of the virtuous and have it read out and then send them to heaven, while to place books (of their deeds) in the left hand of the wicked and send them to hell, and to dispense justice with the help of books are very strange doings for an Omniscient God! Can an All-knowing Being ever act like this? It is mere childish prattle.

71

A DAY WHOSE LENGTH IS FIFTY
THOUSAND YEARS?

“By which the angels and the spirits ascend to him, in a day whose length fifty thousand years.” “The day on which they shall flock up out of their graves in haste, like men who rally to a standard.”

(Chapter 70: 4, 42)

If the day equals fifty thousand years in length, the night is bound to be the same. But if this is not the case, the day can never be fifty thousand years in length. Will God, His angels, and those who hold the books of destiny in their hands keep sitting, standing, or walking during all these fifty thousand years? If

so, then all these will fall ill and die again. Will the dead rise from their graves and run towards the court of God? And how will summons be sent to them in their graves?

Again, why are those poor people, some of whom are virtuous while others wicked, kept confined to their graves during all this time? Is the court of God closed in these days and are He and His angels sitting idle? What could they be possibly doing? They might (for aught we know) be sitting in their places, wandering about hither and thither, sleeping, or enjoying theatrical performances and dances, and living a life of ease and luxury. Let alone the Kingdom of God, such thing would never happen under the rule of an earthly potentate. Who but savages can believe all these things?

“For He it is who formed you by successive steps. See ye not how God has created the seven heavens one over the other. And He has placed therein the moon as a light, and has placed there the sun as a torch.”

(Chapter 71: 14, 16)

If God created the soul, it can never be eternal or immortal. How can it then live forever in paradise

when what is created must perish? How can God place one heaven over another, since it is formless and all-pervading? If you give the name of heaven to something other than Akaash (ether), it would serve no useful purpose. If heavens are placed one over the other, the sun and the moon cannot be placed between them. If they are placed in their middle, then only those that are immediately above and below will be in light; all others, beginning from the second heaven will be in darkness. But such is not the case, hence all this is wrong.

“It is into God that the temples are set apart: Call not then therein any other with God.”

(Chapter 72: 18)

If this is true, why do the Mohammedans mention the name of Mohammad in the formula, “There is no God but Allah and Mohammad is His prophet.” This is clearly against the teachings of the Quran, but if it is not so, the verse of the Quran referred to must be false. If the mosques are the houses of God, then Mohammedans are very idolatrous, since, if the Pauranics (Hindus) and the Jainees are idolatrous, because they call idols the houses of God, why are

not Muslims not the same?

72

THE SUN AND MOON TOGETHER?

“And the sun and the moon shall be together.”

(Chapter 75: 8)

Can the sun and moon ever join together? Mark! What senseless talk is this! What purpose is served by joining the sun and the moon, and why should not all worlds be joined together? Can these impossible statements have ever proceeded from God? Surely they cannot be the inventions of any but the unenlightened.

73

SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN PARADISE?

“Aye, blooming youths round among them, when thou lookest at them: thou wouldst deem them scattered pearls. With silver bracelets shall they be adorned and drink of a pure beverage shall the Lord give them.”

(Chapter 76: 19, 21)

Well Sir, why are boys of pearly complexion kept there? Cannot those in paradise be satisfied with being served by grown up men, and their desires being ministered to by women? It would not be at all surprising of the unnatural crime, which some of the most wicked people commit with boys, had had its origin in this verse of the Quran.

And why is in paradise partiality shown to some of the inmates by providing them with servants? This must afford pleasure to the served and be of a source of misery to the servants? And if God fills their cups with wine for them, He is more like one of their servants. What does His greatness and glory then consist in?

And do women become pregnant in paradise as the result of sexual intercourse with men and do they give birth to children? If they do not, the sexual connection is useless, and if they do, whence do those souls come from? And why are they born in paradise without having worshipped God (on the earth)? If they are born there without believing in the true religion, they enter paradise without having deserved it.

What can be more unjust than that some should enjoy happiness by being religious and others without it?

“They shall have meet recompense. And the cups are full. Ruh and the angels shall be ranged in order.”

(Chapter 78: 25, 32, 36)

If the dead are judged according to their deeds, what did Houris, angels, and boys of pearly complexion do to deserve perpetual residence in paradise? When they will drain whole cupful of wine, will they not become intoxicated, and fight with each other? Ruh is here name of an angel who is stronger than the rest.

Will God make Ruh and other angels stand in a line and form them into a regiment? Will He invest it with power to punish all (erring) souls? Will He himself at that time be sitting or standing?

If God were to gather all His forces before the judgment day and thereby capture Satan, His rule would become secure. Well! This is indeed God-head!

“When the sun shall be folded up. And when the stars shall fall. And when the mountains shall be set in motion. And when the Heaven shall be stripped away.”

(Chapter 80: 1-3, 11)

It is extremely foolish to say that the sun, which is a sphere, will be folded up/ not how will the stars fall, and how will the mountains, which are lifeless, be set in motion?

Again, is the sky a beast that it will be stripped away? Only the most ignorant people such as savages can talk like this.

“When the Heaven shall cleave asunder. And when the stars shall disperse. And when the seas shall be commingled. And when the graves shall be turned upside down and raised.”

(Chapter 80: 1-4)

Bravo! Thou philosophic author of the Quran! How wilt thou be able to cleave asunder the Heaven and disperse the stars? Are rivers logs of wood that they can be sawn into pieces? Are graves dead bodies that they will be raised?

All these things are no better than childish prattle.

“By the star be-spangled heaven! Yet it is a glorious Quran written on the preserved table.”

(Chapter 85: 1, 21)

It is clear that the author of the Quran had not at all studied Geography and Astronomy, otherwise why would he have compared the sky to a castle having towers? If he calls Aries and the like constellations towers, why does he not give the same name to other stars? There are not towers but suns.

If God was the author of this Quran, He too must indeed be destitute of knowledge and reason, and sunk in the greatest depths of ignorance.

“They practice deceit against thee. And I will practice deceit against them.”

(Chapter 86: 46)

Deceitfulness is synonymous with cheating. Is God, too, deceitful? Is theft to answered by theft and a lie by another lie? If a thief were to break into an honest man's house, should the latter resort by robbing the former?

Bravo! Thou author of the Quran!

DOES ALLAH RIDE A CAMEL?

“And thy Lord shall come and the angels rank on rank. And hell on that day shall be brought there.”

(Chapter 89: 23, 24)

Does the God of these people, like a police inspector or a commander, parade his forces? Is hell like an earthen pitcher that it can be carried somewhere? If it is so small, how will it be able to contain countless prisoners?

“And said the apostle of God to them – “Look after the camel of God and let her drink water. But they treated him as an impostor and hamstrung her. So their Lord destroyed them for their crime, and visited all alike.”

(Chapter 101: 15, 18)

Does God also go out for a ride on the camel? If not, why does He keep that animal, and why did He break His own law by afflicting these people with plague before the day of judgment? If He afflicted them with this disease, He must have done it by way of punishment, then the day of Judgment and the

judging of people on that day cannot but be false.

This verse about the camel leads to infer that in Arabia there were but few animals other than the camel for people to ride on. This also proves that the Quran was written by a native of Arabia.

“Nay, verily if he desist not, we shall seize him by the forelock, the sinful, lying forelock! We too will summon the guards of hell.”

(Chapter 126: 15, 16, 18)

God did not escape performing the duties of a menial servant – that of dragging a person. Can the forelock be ever guilty and lying? It is only the soul that can be so. Can He be God who summons the guards of Hell just as the governor of a jail sends for his warders?

76

WAS THE Q'URAN REVEALED IN ONE NIGHT?

“Verily, we have caused it to descend on the night of power. And who shall teach thee what the night of power is? Therein

descend the angels and the spirit by permission of their Lord for every matter.”

(Chapter 127: 1, 2, 4)

If the whole of the Quran was revealed in one night, how can the assertion that a particular verse was revealed at a particular time be true?

When the night is dark, everybody knows it to be so without being told. We have already said that there is not up and down in space, but it is said that the angels and the Holy Ghost, at the command of God, come here to manage the affairs of this world. This clearly proves that God, like men, is confined to a certain place. So far the Quran has talked about a God, the angels and the prophet, now we have got the mention of a fourth being, named, the Holy Ghost. The fourth is an addition to the three personages believed in by the Christians, namely, God, the Son and the Holy Ghost.

If you (Mohammedans) argue that you do not believe these three to be God, let it be so, but if the Holy Ghost is quite different from others, should the other three be called holy spirits? If they too are Holy spirits, why is only one particular person called

Holy Ghost. Again, God swears by night and day, by horses and other animals, and by the Quran, surely, swearing is not resorted to by the good.

77

THE Q'URAN IS NEITHER THE WORK OF GOD NOR AN INTELLIGENT PERSON

We lay this criticism on the teachings of the Quran before all thinking people. let them decide for themselves as to what sort of book it is. As for our opinion, we think that this book can neither be the work of God nor that of an enlightened person, nor does it contain knowledge. We have pointed out some of its many faults so that people may not be taken in and thereby waste their lives. Whatever little truth it contains being in harmony with the teachings of the Vedas and other scientific works, is acceptable to us as it is to other wise and enlightened men who are free from bigotry and religious prejudices.

The rest is superstition and error. It increases the sufferings of the human race by making man a beast, and disturbs the peace of the world by promoting war and by sowing the seeds of discord. Besides, the Quran is simply replete with useless repetitions. May

God be Merciful unto all men so that they may love one another, live together in peace and promote each other's happiness.

If all enlightened men were, like us, to point out, in an impartial spirit, various defects found in different religions, it is not at all impossible that all quarrels should cease, that people should live together in peace all following one religion, and that truth should thus triumph. The wise and the good, it is hoped, will understand the motives which actuated the writer of these lines and profit by what little has been said here about the Quran. They are requested to correct any mistakes that might have crept into the book through error of judgment.

78

IS THE PROPHET PROPHESED IN THE VEDAS?

Now, there is only one thing left (before we are done with this subject.) the Mohammedans, not often, say, write or publish that the Mohammedan religion is spoken of in the Atharva Veda. It will suffice to say that there is not a word about this faith in the Veda in question.

Mohammeden: Have you read the whole of the Atharva Veda? If you have referred to Allopanishad it is given there in plain words. Why do you then say that nothing is said in the Atharva Veda about the Mohammedan religion? Here is a passage from the Allopanishad:

*Asmallam ille Mitra Varuna.....allorasul
Mohammad Akbarasya Allo Allam.....etc.*

That Mohammad is here spoken of as the prophet in unequivocal terms, is a sufficient proof of the fact that the Muslim faith has its origin in the Veda.

Kafir: If you have not read the Atharva Veda, come to us and look through its pages from beginning to end, or you may go to any person who knows that book and read with him all the verses given in its twenty chapters. You will never find the name of your Prophet in it. And as regards Allopanishad it is not given in the Atharva Veda or in its ancient commentary, called the Gopath Braahama or in any of its Shaakhaas (branches).

We surmise that someone wrote it in the reign of the Emperor Akbar. Its author appears to have been a man who knew a little of Sanskrit and Arabic,

because in its text both Sanskrit and Arabic words occur. For example, the Arabic words Asmallam Ille and the Sanskrit words Mitra and Varuna occur in the above passage and the same is seen throughout the whole book.

If we look to its meaning, it is altogether artificial, unsound and opposed to the teachings of the Veda (while the construction of words and sentences, is quite ungrammatical). The followers of other creeds who are blinded by bigotry have also likewise forged Upanishads such as Swarop Upanishad, Narsinhatapni, Ramtapni, Gopal tapni.

Mohammeden: No one ever expounded this theory (as regards the Allopanishad) before; how can we then believe you?

Kafir: Our statement cannot be wrong whether you believe it or not.

Your contention can be accepted as true only when you, in a manner similar to that in which we have shown it to be wrong, point it (Allopnishad) out in the Veda, the Gopath, or in any of its ancient Shakhaas and show satisfactorily that the interpretation you put upon it is in harmony with context.

Mohammeden: What a good religion is ours. By embracing it one can enjoy all the pleasures of this world as well as attain salvation hereafter.

Kafir: Other sectaries also say “Our creed is the best, all other are bad. No one can attain salvation without accepting our faith.”

All that we believe is that truthfulness in speech, love, fellow-feeling and the like virtues, in whatever creed they may be found, are commendable, while wrangling, and harboring of jealousy and hatred, dissimulation and the like evil practices, advocated by whatsoever creed they may, are condemnable.

If you are sincerely desirous of getting hold of truth, embrace the Vedic religion.



ABOUT AGNIVEER

Agniveer was founded by Shri Sanjeev Newar, an IIT-IIM professional, data scientist, and Yogi to provide a solution-oriented, spiritually driven, and honest approach to improving the world - within and outside an individual. Agniveer specializes in practical applications of the timeless wisdom of Vedas, Geeta, and Yoga to address the contemporary challenges of life. Thousands of testimonials of transformation - from people who were on the verge of committing suicide, fighting depression, confused about life, directionless, unable to address social injustice around - attest the massive change it has been able to bring.

Agniveer takes credit in bringing several ignored, uncomfortable but critical issues to public attention. Agniveer is the leading advocate of social equality in India and pioneer of 'Dalit Yajna' initiative to break caste and gender barriers. Agniveer spearheaded the Muslim women rights campaign facing a severe backlash from conservative and fanatic elements. Yet, it was successful in bringing details of disgusting practices like Halala, sex-slavery, polygamy, triple talaq and love jihad to the limelight and evolving a consensus against them. Agniveer women helpline

deals with such cases and has brought many smiles.

Agniveer also introduced the concept of unarmed combat workshops across sensitive parts of the country to create a skilled team that is able to defend vulnerable from criminals. Agniveer is a prominent champion of de-radicalization and has brought innumerable youth to join the mainstream path. Agniveer's narrative on history has created a significant momentum to question the authenticity of populist history taught out of political compulsions.

Agniveer has published several books on social equality, caste equality, gender equality, human rights, the controversial religious rights and history, apart from books on self-help, Yoga, Hinduism, and life-hacks. Readers appreciate the books for straightforward, original, solution-oriented, practical, fresh, and mind-bending experience.

Everyone keen to live a meaningful life to fullest is welcome to join or support Agniveer mission.

To know more about us, kindly visit

- Website: www.agniveer.com
- Facebook: www.facebook.com/agniveeragni

- Youtube: www.youtube.com/agniveer
- Twitter: www.twitter.com/agniveer
- To join us to be part of Agniveer, please fill our membership form: www.agniveer.com/membership-form/

To contribute to Agniveer, kindly make payment through

- Payment page: www.agniveer.com/pay
- Paypal: give@agniveer.com

AGNIVEER

SERVING NATION | PROTECTING
DHARMA